arXiv:1412.0959v2 [physics.plasm-ph] 29 Apr 2015

Radial transport of toroidal angular momentum in tokamaks

Iván Calvo[1]

E-mail: ivan.calvo@ciemat.es

Felix I Parra[2,3]

E-mail: felix.parradiaz@physics.ox.ac.uk

[1]Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT, 28040 Madrid, Spain
[2]Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3NP, UK
[3]Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

PACS numbers: 52.55.-s, 52.30.Gz, 52.35.Ra, 52.55.Fa, 52.25.Fi

Abstract. The radial flux of toroidal angular momentum is needed to determine tokamak intrinsic rotation profiles. Its computation requires knowledge of the gyrokinetic distribution functions and turbulent electrostatic potential to second-order in $\epsilon = \rho/L$, where ρ is the ion Larmor radius and L is the variation length of the magnetic field. In this article, a complete set of equations to calculate the radial transport of toroidal angular momentum in any tokamak is presented. In particular, the $O(\epsilon^2)$ equations for the turbulent components of the distribution functions and electrostatic potential are given for the first time without assuming that the poloidal magnetic field over the magnetic field strength is small.

1. Introduction

Intrinsic rotation is the toroidal rotation that tokamak plasmas can achieve without the need of external momentum input. There is a number of reasons why intrinsic rotation has become an active research field in the last years [1, 2]. First, fast toroidal rotation seems to improve confinement [3] and it quenches MHD instabilities [4]. Second, it is unlikely that rotation in the core of large and dense tokamaks can be produced by means of neutral beam momentum injection [5, 6]. Therefore, in tokamaks like ITER or larger, the only rotation available will be intrinsic. Third, Parra and Catto showed that standard code implementations of the gyrokinetic equations cannot determine the intrinsic rotation profile; they also pointed out that the correct equations had not been derived yet [7, 8, 9, 10]. We explain the problem in more detail in what follows.

Gyrokinetic theory [11] is the appropriate framework to study microturbulence in fusion plasmas; that is, turbulence on the ion Larmor radius scale, ρ, with characteristic frequencies of the order of c_s/L, where c_s is the sound speed and L is the typical variation length of the magnetic field. The theory is formulated as an asymptotic expansion in $\epsilon := \rho/L \ll 1$, in which the gyromotion degree of freedom is eliminated order by order in ϵ, whereas non-zero Larmor radius effects are retained. The specific orderings assumed in electrostatic gyrokinetics are explained in Section 2. They lead to a consistent set of equations, as proven, in particular, by the fact that gyrokinetic simulations [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] converge as long as the size of the simulation domain exceeds several gyroradii. Recently, it has explicitly been checked that the fluctuation spectrum reaches its maximum at wavelengths of the order of ρ [17]. Finally, dedicated experiments have confirmed that the scaling of the turbulence characteristics with the gyroradius are reproduced by gyrokinetic theory [18]. An introductory survey of gyrokinetics can be found in [19].

The so-called low-flow ordering, in which the plasma velocity is assumed to be of order ϵc_s, is probably the relevant one in the core of large and dense tokamaks. The reason is that sonic speeds are typically reached by neutral beam momentum injection, and we have already mentioned that its efficiency is reduced in large machines. In the low-flow regime, and in up-down symmetric tokamaks [20], Parra and Catto proved that finding the intrinsic rotation profile requires the gyrokinetic equations to $O(\epsilon^2)$. Determining the toroidal rotation is equivalent to determining the long-wavelength radial electric field, and viceversa, because the neoclassical relation between the two quantities [21] still holds in the presence of microturbulence. Then, the difficulty of computing the toroidal rotation can be traced back to the intrinsic ambipolarity of the tokamak; that is, to the fact that to lowest order, and even in the presence of turbulence, the flux-surface-averaged ion and electron current densities cancel each other for any value of the long-wavelength radial electric field‡. However, gyrokinetic codes only have the equations implemented to such lowest order.

‡ The intrinsic ambipolarity of the tokamak is a result of its axisymmetry. Therefore, the problem disappears for sufficiently rippled tokamaks or stellarators far from quasisymmetry [22, 23, 24, 25].

As advanced above, Parra and Catto [26] arrived at a formula for the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum that is written in terms of velocity integrals involving up to $O(\epsilon^2)$ pieces of the ion distribution functions and electrostatic potential. Hence, the Fokker-Planck and quasineutrality equations have to be solved to $O(\epsilon^2)$ to evaluate the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum. The set of equations appropriate for the limit $B/B_p \gg 1$ has been given in references [26, 27, 2]. Here, B_p is the magnitude of the poloidal magnetic field. However, the equations valid for a general tokamak had not been calculated.

In reference [28], by employing the results of [29], the first step to compute the toroidal angular momentum flux without using the approximation $B/B_p \gg 1$ has been taken. In order to be more specific about what has been done and what remained to be done, we recall that in gyrokinetics the fields of the theory, i.e. the distribution functions and the electrostatic potential, are naturally decomposed as the sum of their long-wavelength and short-wavelength components. The short-wavelength components correspond to the turbulence scales and the long-wavelength ones to the macroscopic scales (see Section 2 for more details on the decomposition). Both components of the distribution functions to $O(\epsilon^2)$ enter the momentum transport equations. As for the electrostatic potential, the turbulent component to $O(\epsilon^2)$ is needed, but the long-wavelength component is required only to $O(\epsilon)$. In [28], the long-wavelength gyrokinetic equations up to $O(\epsilon^2)$ have been derived. In this paper, we compute the short-wavelength equations and then write explicitly all the equations that, when implemented in a global δf code, give the toroidal angular momentum flux in any tokamak to the order needed to calculate intrinsic rotation.

In Section 2, the orderings assumed in low-flow electrostatic gyrokinetics are explained. Section 3 summarizes the procedure employed by Parra and Catto to reach their expression for the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum. In Section 4 we show that the evaluation of such an expression requires the long-wavelength and short-wavelength components of the distribution functions to $O(\epsilon^2)$, the long-wavelength component of the electrostatic potential to $O(\epsilon)$, and the short-wavelength component of the electrostatic potential to $O(\epsilon^2)$. In Section 5 the equations for the short-wavelength components are provided. In subsection 5.1, we simply recall the well-known equations for the $O(\epsilon)$ turbulent components. In subsections 5.2 and 5.3, the equations for the $O(\epsilon^2)$ turbulent components of the distribution functions and electrostatic potential are derived for the first time. Section 6 contains the results of reference [28] that are needed to formulate a complete set of equations for toroidal angular momentum transport in any tokamak. These are the equations for the long-wavelength components of the distribution functions up to $O(\epsilon^2)$, the equation for the long-wavelength component of the electrostatic potential to $O(\epsilon)$, the transport equation for the density of each species, and the transport equation for the total energy. In Section 7 we summarize the results and list the equations of the main text that should be implemented in a code to determine the toroidal rotation profile.

2. Orderings and assumptions

The starting point in the derivation of the electrostatic gyrokinetic equations consists of the Fokker-Planck equation for each species σ,

$$\partial_t f_\sigma + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f_\sigma + \frac{Z_\sigma e}{m_\sigma}\left(-\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi + \frac{1}{c}\,\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}[f_\sigma, f_{\sigma'}] + S_\sigma, \tag{1}$$

and the quasineutrality equation,

$$\sum_\sigma Z_\sigma e \int f_\sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)\mathrm{d}^3 v = 0. \tag{2}$$

The fields of the theory are the phase-space probability distributions $f_\sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)$ and the electrostatic potential $\varphi(\mathbf{r},t)$. The magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\times\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ does not vary in time, c is the speed of light, e the charge of the proton, and $Z_\sigma e$ and m_σ are the charge and the mass of species σ. The Landau collision operator between species σ and σ' reads

$$C_{\sigma\sigma'}[f_\sigma, f_{\sigma'}](\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t) = \frac{\gamma_{\sigma\sigma'}}{m_\sigma}\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\int \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{W}}\,(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}')\cdot\left(\frac{1}{m_\sigma} f_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}',t)\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_\sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t) - \frac{1}{m_{\sigma'}} f_\sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)\nabla_{\mathbf{v}'} f_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}',t)\right)\mathrm{d}^3 v', \tag{3}$$

where

$$\gamma_{\sigma\sigma'} := 2\pi Z_\sigma^2 Z_{\sigma'}^2 e^4 \ln\Lambda, \tag{4}$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{w}) := \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{w}|^3}, \tag{5}$$

$\ln\Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm, and $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}}$ is the identity matrix. Finally, S_σ is a source term that represents heating and fuelling in the tokamak. Our equation does not explicitly include the transformer electric field because we assume that the electric field is purely electrostatic, but the effect of the transformer electric field can be recovered with suitable choices of S_σ.

Gyrokinetic theory relies on the existence of very different spatial and time scales when the plasma is strongly magnetized. The small spatial scale is given by the gyroradius of species σ, $\rho_\sigma = v_{t\sigma}/\Omega_\sigma$, and the large spatial scale is given by $L \sim |\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} B/B|^{-1}$, where $B = |\mathbf{B}|$. Here, $v_{t\sigma} = \sqrt{T_0/m_\sigma}$ is the thermal velocity of species σ, where T_0 is a typical value of the temperature, and $\Omega_\sigma = Z_\sigma e B_0/(m_\sigma c)$, the gyrofrequency, is defined in terms of a typical value of the magnetic field, B_0. Strong magnetization implies that $\epsilon_\sigma := \rho_\sigma/L \ll 1$; that is, the charged particle gyrates around a magnetic field line separating from it only a small distance of the order of the gyroradius. There is also a large separation in time scales. The fast time scale is the

gyrofrequency Ω_σ and the slow time scale is $v_{t\sigma}/L$ (relevant turbulence frequencies satisfy $\omega \sim v_{t\sigma}/L$). In gyrokinetic theory, one eliminates the degree of freedom corresponding to the gyromotion by averaging out frequencies of the order of Ω_σ, while non-zero Larmor radius effects are kept. Even if we often use ϵ_σ for convenience, we need a species-independent expansion parameter. We choose $\epsilon_s := \rho_s/L$, where $\rho_s = c_s/\Omega_i$ is the sound gyroradius and $c_s = \sqrt{T_0/m_i}$ is the sound speed. We assume that the dominant ion species, denoted by the subindex i, is singly charged; i.e. $Z_i = 1$. Gyrokinetics is then formulated as an asymptotic expansion in ϵ_s. Observe that the relation between ϵ_σ and ϵ_s is $\epsilon_s = Z_\sigma \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma$, with

$$\tau_\sigma = \frac{v_{t\sigma}}{c_s} = \sqrt{\frac{m_i}{m_\sigma}}\,. \tag{6}$$

The presence of disparate time and space scales makes it useful to write the fields of the theory as the sum of two components, one associated to the microturbulence scales (the short-wavelength component) and the other to the macroscopic scale (the long-wavelength component). To properly define these components, we need a set of flux coordinates $\{\psi, \Theta, \zeta\}$, where ψ is the poloidal magnetic flux, Θ is the poloidal angle, and ζ is the toroidal angle. The magnetic field of the tokamak is written as

$$\mathbf{B} = I(\psi)\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\zeta + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\zeta \times \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi. \tag{7}$$

The coordinate ζ is chosen to be the standard toroidal angle in the cylindrical coordinates whose axis is the tokamak symmetry axis. In particular, $|\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\zeta| = R^{-1}$, where R is the distance to the symmetry axis.

The axisymmetric long-wavelength component of a function $g(\psi, \Theta, \zeta)$ is defined by

$$g^{\mathrm{lw}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\Delta t \Delta\psi \Delta\Theta} \int_{\Delta t} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\Delta\psi} \mathrm{d}\psi \int_{\Delta\Theta} \mathrm{d}\Theta \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\zeta\, g, \tag{8}$$

where $\epsilon_s \ll \Delta\psi/\psi \ll 1$, $\epsilon_s \ll \Delta\Theta \ll 1$, and $L/c_s \ll \Delta t \ll \tau_E$. Here,

$$\tau_E := \frac{L}{\epsilon_s^2 c_s} \tag{9}$$

is the transport time scale (i.e. the time scale of variation of the profiles) and L/c_s is the time scale of the turbulence. Then, the short-wavelength component is defined as

$$g^{\mathrm{sw}} := g - g^{\mathrm{lw}}. \tag{10}$$

For any two functions $g(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $h(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[g^{\mathrm{lw}}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} = g^{\mathrm{lw}}, \\ &\left[g^{\mathrm{sw}}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} = 0, \\ &\left[gh\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} = g^{\mathrm{lw}} h^{\mathrm{lw}} + \left[g^{\mathrm{sw}} h^{\mathrm{sw}}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The decomposition into a short-wavelength and a long-wavelength component is not unambiguously defined because the integration limits of (8) are not specified. For the most part, this ambiguity is not a problem because the long- and short-wavelength pieces can be thought of as defined by the equations they satisfy. However, to implement some

of the equations in this article, one needs to choose a time interval and a space volume over which the average $[\ldots]^{\mathrm{lw}}$ is taken. For example, in equations (101), (110), (116) and (121), we need to take the average $[\ldots]^{\mathrm{lw}}$ over nonlinear terms that contain products of two short wavelength pieces. Fortunately, the exact time interval and space volume over which the average $[\ldots]^{\mathrm{lw}}$ is taken is irrelevant if the parameter ϵ is sufficiently small. This result can be deduced from the formal equivalence between the local and global approaches to gyrokinetics established in reference [30].

The distribution function and electrostatic potential are written using this decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\sigma &= f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}} + f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}}, \\ \varphi &= \varphi^{\mathrm{lw}} + \varphi^{\mathrm{sw}}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The separation of time and space scales suggests the following assumptions on the distribution functions and electrostatic potential. First, we assume that the relative sizes of the short and long-wavelength components are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{t\sigma}^3 f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}}}{n_{e0}} &\sim \frac{Z_\sigma e \varphi^{\mathrm{sw}}}{m_\sigma v_{t\sigma}^2} \sim \epsilon_s, \\ \frac{v_{t\sigma}^3 f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}}}{n_{e0}} &\sim \frac{Z_\sigma e \varphi^{\mathrm{lw}}}{m_\sigma v_{t\sigma}^2} \sim 1, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where n_{e0} is a characteristic value of the electron density. We also need an ordering scheme for the space and time derivatives. The natural assumptions are, for the long-wavelength components,

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \ln f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}},\ \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \ln \varphi^{\mathrm{lw}} \sim 1/L, \\ &\partial_t \ln f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}},\ \partial_t \ln \varphi^{\mathrm{lw}} \sim \epsilon_s^2 c_s/L, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and for the short-wavelength ones,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \ln f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}},\ \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \ln \varphi^{\mathrm{sw}} \sim 1/L, \\ &\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_\perp} \ln f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}},\ \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_\perp} \ln \varphi^{\mathrm{sw}} \sim 1/\rho_s, \\ &\partial_t \ln f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}},\ \partial_t \ln \varphi^{\mathrm{sw}} \sim c_s/L. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Here, $\perp$ stands for perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}} := B^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{B}$. Note the different sizes of the perpendicular and the parallel gradients of f_σ^{sw} and φ^{sw}. It is important to mention that the spatial dependence of f_σ^{sw} and φ^{sw} has two different characteristic scales in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field: the short length scale of the turbulent fluctuations, and the long length scale associated to the slow change of the turbulent average characteristics over the radius of the tokamak. These two different scales can be treated explicitly in the gyrokinetic equations [2, 30], but in this article we choose not to do it because we are deriving a global δf gyrokinetic formulation.

Finally, we assume that the source term in equation (1) only consists of the long-wavelength component, $S_\sigma = S_\sigma^{\rm lw}$, and that it varies in the transport time scale τ_E. For consistency with the transport time scale τ_E, we assume

$$S_\sigma \sim \epsilon_s^2 \frac{n_{e0} c_s}{L v_{t\sigma}^3}. \tag{16}$$

With the ordering detailed in this section, the gyromotion degree of freedom can be averaged out order by order in ϵ_s, and a consistent set of non-linear equations for plasma microturbulence is obtained [12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

3. Radial flux of toroidal angular momentum

In this section we proceed as in references [26, 2] to obtain a convenient expression for the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum. In [26] a mass ratio expansion in $\sqrt{m_e/m_i} \ll 1$ was performed in order to simplify the presentation, that consequently only needed to treat kinetically the ions (note that in [27, 2] the final equations for both kinetic ions and kinetic electrons are given). Here, we will not perform a mass ratio expansion.

We multiply the Fokker-Planck equation (1) by $m_\sigma \mathbf{v}$ and integrate in velocity space, obtaining

$$\partial_t (m_\sigma N_\sigma \mathbf{V}_\sigma) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma - Z_\sigma e N_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \varphi + \frac{Z_\sigma e}{c} N_\sigma \mathbf{V}_\sigma \times \mathbf{B}$$
$$+ \int m_\sigma \mathbf{v} \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}[f_\sigma, f_{\sigma'}] \mathrm{d}^3 v + \int m_\sigma \mathbf{v} S_\sigma \mathrm{d}^3 v. \tag{17}$$

The density, velocity and stress tensor of species σ are defined by

$$N_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) := \int f_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) \mathrm{d}^3 v, \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) := \frac{1}{N_\sigma} \int \mathbf{v} f_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) \mathrm{d}^3 v, \tag{19}$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) := \int m_\sigma \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} f_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) \mathrm{d}^3 v. \tag{20}$$

Summing (17) over species,

$$\partial_t \sum_\sigma m_\sigma N_\sigma \mathbf{V}_\sigma = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \sum_\sigma \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \sum_\sigma \int m_\sigma \mathbf{v} S_\sigma \mathrm{d}^3 v, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) := \sum_\sigma Z_\sigma e N_\sigma \mathbf{V}_\sigma \tag{22}$$

is the electric current density. To write (21) we have employed the momentum conservation property of the collision operator and the quasineutrality equation (2), that can be expressed as $\sum_\sigma Z_\sigma e N_\sigma = 0$.

We define the flux-surface average of a function $G(\psi,\Theta,\zeta)$ by

$$\langle G\rangle_\psi := \frac{\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sqrt{g}\,G(\psi,\Theta,\zeta)\mathrm{d}\Theta\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sqrt{g}\,\mathrm{d}\Theta\mathrm{d}\zeta}\,, \tag{23}$$

with

$$\sqrt{g} := \frac{1}{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi\cdot(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\Theta\times\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\zeta)} \tag{24}$$

the square root of the determinant of the metric tensor in coordinates $\{\psi,\Theta,\zeta\}$. It will also be useful to define the volume enclosed by the flux surface labeled by ψ,

$$V(\psi) = \int_0^\psi \mathrm{d}\psi' \int_0^{2\pi}\mathrm{d}\Theta\int_0^{2\pi}\mathrm{d}\zeta\,\sqrt{g}(\psi',\Theta)\,. \tag{25}$$

In order to find the equation for toroidal angular momentum transport we take the scalar product of (21) with $R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = R^2\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\zeta$ and flux-surface average. We get

$$\partial_t\left\langle\sum_\sigma m_\sigma N_\sigma R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\mathbf{V}_\sigma\right\rangle_\psi = -\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi(V'\Pi) + T_\zeta, \tag{26}$$

where the prime stands for differentiation with respect to ψ, the external torque is

$$T_\zeta := \left\langle\sum_\sigma R\int m_\sigma\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}S_\sigma\mathrm{d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi, \tag{27}$$

and the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum is defined by

$$\Pi := \sum_\sigma \Pi_\sigma, \tag{28}$$

with

$$\Pi_\sigma := \left\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi\right\rangle_\psi. \tag{29}$$

We have used that $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}(R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})$ is antisymmetric and that $\left\langle R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot(\mathbf{J}\times\mathbf{B})\right\rangle_\psi = \langle\mathbf{J}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi\rangle_\psi$. The latter has to be zero, as can be proven by noting that $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\mathbf{J}=0$, and that $\mathbf{J}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi$ must vanish at $\psi=0$.

In the low flow ordering, the magnitude of the ion flow is $V_i\sim\epsilon_s c_s$. Recalling the definition of the transport time scale given in Section 2, $\tau_E=\epsilon_s^{-2}L/c_s$, we can estimate the size of the first term in (26). Namely,

$$\partial_t\left\langle\sum_\sigma m_\sigma N_\sigma R\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\mathbf{V}_\sigma\right\rangle_\psi \sim \epsilon_s^3\frac{Rn_{e0}T_0}{L}. \tag{30}$$

If the three terms in equation (26) are to be comparable, we deduce

$$\Pi\sim\epsilon_s^3 n_{e0}T_0RB_0L \tag{31}$$

and

$$T_\zeta\sim\epsilon_s^3\frac{Rn_{e0}T_0}{L}. \tag{32}$$

Observe that we are assuming that the momentum injection is smaller in ϵ_s than, for example, the particle input (see (16)). If T_ζ were much larger than the estimate (32), it would dominate the toroidal rotation. Then, V_i would approach sonic values and the plasma would enter the so-called high-flow regime. As pointed out in the Introduction, it is unlikely that momentum input larger than the estimate (32) be available in the core of large tokamaks, and therefore the only possible rotation will be of intrinsic nature. However, this is precisely the difficult case from the theoretical and computational perspective: in the low-flow regime, one needs to calculate Π to $O(\epsilon_s^3)$.

In references [26, 2], a procedure was devised to write Π in such a way that 'only' $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ pieces of the distribution functions and electrostatic potential are needed to evaluate it. We start by multiplying (1) by $m_\sigma \mathbf{vv}$ and integrating over velocities,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_\sigma \left(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma \right) = \\
&\quad \partial_t \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left(m_\sigma \int \mathbf{vvv} f_\sigma \mathrm{d}^3 v \right) \\
&\quad + Z_\sigma e N_\sigma \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \varphi \mathbf{V}_\sigma + \mathbf{V}_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \varphi \right) \\
&\quad - \int m_\sigma \mathbf{vv} \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}[f_\sigma, f_{\sigma'}] \mathrm{d}^3 v \\
&\quad - \int m_\sigma \mathbf{vv} S_\sigma \mathrm{d}^3 v.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We are only interested in the long-wavelength component of this equation. We find the expression for Π_σ^{lw} by taking the double-dot product of (33) with $R^2 \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}/2$, flux-surface averaging, and extracting the long-wavelength component,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}} = &\frac{m_\sigma c}{2 Z_\sigma e} \partial_t \left\langle R^2 \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \right\rangle_\psi \\
&+ \frac{m_\sigma^2 c}{2 Z_\sigma e} \frac{1}{V'} \partial_\psi \left\langle V' \int f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \psi) R^2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2 \mathrm{d}^3 v \right\rangle_\psi \\
&+ \left\langle c \partial_\zeta \varphi R N_\sigma m_\sigma (\mathbf{V}_\sigma \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) \right\rangle_\psi^{\mathrm{lw}} \\
&- \frac{m_\sigma^2 c}{2 Z_\sigma e} \left\langle \int \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\mathrm{lw}} R^2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2 \mathrm{d}^3 v \right\rangle_\psi \\
&- \frac{m_\sigma^2 c}{2 Z_\sigma e} \left\langle \int S_\sigma R^2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2 \mathrm{d}^3 v \right\rangle_\psi .
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

In references [26, 2] it is shown that, up to terms that are small by a factor of ϵ_s, the right-hand side of (34) can be rewritten as

$$\Pi_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}} = \left\langle c \partial_\zeta \varphi R N_\sigma m_\sigma (\mathbf{V}_\sigma \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) \right\rangle_\psi^{\mathrm{lw}}$$

$$+\frac{m_\sigma c^2}{2Z_\sigma e}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\partial_\zeta\varphi R^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi^{\mathrm{lw}}+\frac{m_\sigma c}{2Z_\sigma e}\langle R^2\rangle_\psi\partial_t p_\sigma$$
$$-\frac{m_\sigma^2 c}{2Z_\sigma e}\left\langle\int\sum_{\sigma'}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\mathrm{lw}}R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\mathrm{d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\frac{m_\sigma^3 c^2}{6Z_\sigma^2 e^2}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\int\sum_{\sigma'}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\mathrm{lw}}R^3(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^3\mathrm{d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\frac{m_\sigma^2 c}{2Z_\sigma e}\left\langle\int S_\sigma R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\mathrm{d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi, \tag{35}$$

where $p_\sigma = n_\sigma T$ is the pressure of species σ, given by the $O(n_{e0}T_0)$ term of the right side of (20). In Section 4 we explicitly show that the right-hand side of (35) can be recast in terms of the fields of the theory to $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ by rewriting it in such a way that the solutions of the gyrokinetic equations (derived in Sections 5 and 6) can be directly plugged into it.

4. Gyrokinetic expansion

The objective is to write the right side of (35) in terms of the pieces of the distribution functions and electrostatic potential that are obtained by solving the gyrokinetic equations. This is done in subsection 4.3. Before doing this, in subsections 4.1 and 4.2 we briefly recall how the gyrokinetic expansion is defined and give the results of references [28] and [29] that are needed in this article.

4.1. Dimensionless variables

The gyrokinetic expansion is more clearly understood by writing the equations in dimensionless variables. For time, space, magnetic field, electrostatic potential, particle density and temperature, we employ

$$\underline{t}=\frac{c_s t}{L},\ \underline{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{\mathbf{r}}{L},\ \underline{\mathbf{B}}=\frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_0},$$
$$\underline{\varphi}=\frac{e\varphi}{\epsilon_s T_0},\ \underline{n_\sigma}=\frac{n_\sigma}{n_{e0}},\ \underline{T_\sigma}=\frac{T_\sigma}{T_0}. \tag{36}$$

For velocities, distribution functions and sources, we use

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}_\sigma}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_\sigma}{v_{t\sigma}},\ \underline{f_\sigma}=\frac{v_{t\sigma}^3}{n_{e0}}f_\sigma,\ \underline{S_\sigma}=\frac{L}{\epsilon_s^2 c_s}\frac{v_{t\sigma}^3}{n_{e0}}S_\sigma. \tag{37}$$

In dimensionless variables, the Fokker-Planck equation (1) becomes

$$\partial_{\underline{t}}\,\underline{f_\sigma}+\tau_\sigma\underline{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\,\underline{f_\sigma}+\tau_\sigma\left(-Z_\sigma\epsilon_s\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\underline{\varphi}+\frac{1}{\epsilon_\sigma}\underline{\mathbf{v}}\times\underline{\mathbf{B}}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}}\,\underline{f_\sigma}$$
$$=\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\underline{C_{\sigma\sigma'}}[\underline{f_\sigma},\underline{f_{\sigma'}}](\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}})+\epsilon_s^2\underline{S_\sigma}. \tag{38}$$

The normalized collision operator reads, explicitly,

$$\underline{C_{\sigma\sigma'}}[\underline{f_\sigma},\underline{f_{\sigma'}}](\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}}) = \underline{\gamma_{\sigma\sigma'}}\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}}\cdot\int \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{W}}\,(\tau_\sigma\underline{\mathbf{v}}-\tau_{\sigma'}\underline{\mathbf{v}}')\cdot\Big(\tau_\sigma\underline{f_{\sigma'}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{t})\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}}\underline{f_\sigma}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t}) - \tau_{\sigma'}\underline{f_\sigma}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}'}\underline{f_{\sigma'}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{t})\Big)\mathrm{d}^3\underline{v}'. \tag{39}$$

Here,

$$\underline{\gamma_{\sigma\sigma'}} := \frac{2\pi Z_\sigma^2 Z_{\sigma'}^2 n_{e0} e^4 L}{{T_0}^2}\ln\Lambda. \tag{40}$$

is the collisionality (up to a factor of order unity with respect standard definitions). In this paper we assume $\underline{\gamma_{\sigma\sigma'}}\sim 1$.

The quasineutrality equation (2) is recast as

$$\sum_\sigma Z_\sigma\int\underline{f_\sigma}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\mathrm{d}^3\underline{v}=0. \tag{41}$$

It is useful to list the ordering assumptions (13), (14) and (15) in terms of dimensionless variables. The short-wavelength components of the electrostatic potential and the distribution functions satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underline{\varphi}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim 1,\\
&\underline{f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\sim\epsilon_s,\\
&\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})\cdot\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\underline{\varphi}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim 1,\\
&\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})\cdot\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\underline{f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\sim\epsilon_s,\\
&\partial_{\underline{t}}\underline{\varphi}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim 1,\\
&\partial_{\underline{t}}\underline{f_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\sim\epsilon_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The ordering for the long-wavelength components is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underline{\varphi}^{\mathrm{lw}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim 1/\epsilon_s,\\
&\underline{f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\sim 1,\\
&\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\underline{\varphi}^{\mathrm{lw}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim 1/\epsilon_s,\\
&\nabla_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\underline{f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim 1,\\
&\partial_{\underline{t}}\underline{\varphi}^{\mathrm{lw}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t})\sim\epsilon_s,\\
&\partial_{\underline{t}}\underline{f_\sigma^{\mathrm{lw}}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{t})\sim\epsilon_s^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Observe that the normalization of φ has an extra ϵ_s^{-1} that makes $\underline{\varphi}^{\rm lw} \sim \epsilon_s^{-1}$ and $\underline{\varphi}^{\rm sw} \sim 1$, whereas $\underline{f_\sigma^{\rm lw}} \sim 1$ and $\underline{f_\sigma^{\rm sw}} \sim \epsilon_s$. For the expansions $\epsilon_s \ll 1$ of both components of the normalized electrostatic potential, we write

$$\underline{\varphi}^{\rm lw}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) := \frac{1}{\epsilon_s}\underline{\varphi_0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) + \underline{\varphi_1}^{\rm lw}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) + \epsilon_s\underline{\varphi_2}^{\rm lw}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) + O(\epsilon_s^2) \tag{44}$$

and

$$\underline{\varphi}^{\rm sw}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) := \underline{\varphi_1}^{\rm sw}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) + \epsilon_s\underline{\varphi_2}^{\rm sw}(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{t}) + O(\epsilon_s^2). \tag{45}$$

We do not underline variables from here on, but we assume that we are employing the non-dimensional ones.

4.2. Gyrokinetic coordinate transformation

The aim of gyrokinetic theory is to average equations (38) and (41) over time scales of the order of the ion gyrofrequency, assuming that the orderings of Section 2 hold. The typical approaches to the problem try to find a coordinate transformation in phase space such that in the new coordinates, called gyrokinetic coordinates, the fast degree of freedom is decoupled. Iterative methods work directly on the equations of motion [32, 33, 34, 35, 7], whereas Hamiltonian and Lagrangian methods employ techniques of analytical mechanics to obtain the coordinate transformation [36, 37, 38, 29].

It has been proven in [26] that the intrinsic ambipolarity of the tokamak implies that the gyrokinetic equations have to be computed to $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ if one wants to find the long-wavelength radial electric field. The same accuracy is needed to compute the intrinsic rotation profile in the low-flow ordering. The calculation of the gyrokinetic system of equations to second order is given in reference [29], in the phase-space Lagrangian formalism, for general magnetic geometry. The correctness of the approach and the results in [29] has recently been confirmed by independent calculations of the most involved piece of the Lagrangian [39, 40]. In simplified geometries, the results of [29] reduce to those of seminal references like [36].

However, reaching expressions sufficiently explicit to be implemented in a code still requires some work. In reference [28] this was done for tokamak geometry but only for the equations that give the long-wavelength components of the fields. Here, we introduce some notation and refresh some results from [28] and [29] that will be needed in the following sections, where the equations determining the short-wavelength components of the distribution functions and electrostatic potential are derived.

We denote by $(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta)$ the gyrokinetic coordinates, where $\mathbf{R}$ is the position of the gyrocenter, u is the parallel velocity, μ is the magnetic moment and θ is the gyrophase. The euclidean phase-space coordinates are denoted by $\mathbf{X} \equiv \{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}\}$ and the transformation between both sets of coordinates by $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$,

$$(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta, t). \tag{46}$$

In practice, the transformation is computed as a power series in ϵ_σ, where the lowest order terms of $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{r} - \epsilon_\sigma \frac{1}{B(\mathbf{r})}\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{v} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2),\\
u &= \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) + O(\epsilon_\sigma),\\
\mu &= \frac{1}{2B(\mathbf{r})}\left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})\right)^2 + O(\epsilon_\sigma),\\
\theta &= \arctan\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r})}\right) + O(\epsilon_\sigma).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Here, the unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r})$ are orthogonal to each other and to $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})$, and satisfy $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r}) \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})$ at every location $\mathbf{r}$.

It will be useful to write some expressions in terms of $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^*$, the pull-back transformation induced by $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$. Given a function $g(\mathbf{X}, t)$, $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^* g(\mathbf{Z}, t)$ is

$$\mathcal{T}_\sigma^* g(\mathbf{Z}, t) = g(\mathcal{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{Z}, t), t). \tag{48}$$

For the expansion of the transformation in powers of ϵ_σ we employ the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_\sigma &= \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0} + \epsilon_\sigma \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3),\\
\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1} &= \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1} + \epsilon_\sigma \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

We will make an extensive use of the zeroth-order transformation. The expression for $(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta) = \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{r},\\
u &= \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}),\\
\mu &= \frac{1}{2B(\mathbf{r})}\left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})\right)^2,\\
\theta &= \arctan\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r})}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Higher-order terms of the change of coordinates will be computed or taken from [28] when needed. Given a function $G(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta)$, the following obvious identity will also be useful,

$$\int \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) \mathrm{d}^3 v = \int B(\mathbf{r}) G(\mathbf{r}, u, \mu, \theta) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\theta, \tag{51}$$

where we have used that the Jacobian of $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}$ is $B(\mathbf{r})$.

Applying the gyrokinetic transformation to the the Fokker-Planck equation (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t F_\sigma + \tau_\sigma \dot{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} F_\sigma + \tau_\sigma \dot{u}\partial_u F_\sigma + \tau_\sigma \dot{\theta}\partial_\theta F_\sigma\\
&\quad = \tau_\sigma \sum_{\sigma'} \mathcal{T}_\sigma^* C_{\sigma\sigma'}[\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*} F_\sigma, \mathcal{T}_{\sigma'}^{-1*} F_{\sigma'}](\mathbf{Z}, t) + \epsilon_s^2 \mathcal{T}_\sigma^* S_\sigma,
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where $F_\sigma := \mathcal{T}_\sigma^* f_\sigma$, $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}$ is the pull-back transformation that corresponds to $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1}$, i.e. $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*} F_\sigma(\mathbf{X}, t) = F_\sigma(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{X}, t), t)$, and the particle equations of motion, $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$, $\dot{u}$, and $\dot{\theta}$ (note that $\dot{\mu} = 0$) are given in Appendix A to the necessary order.

Since it will be also useful in this paper, we recall that in [29] the gyrokinetic transformation $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ is written as the composition of two transformations, $\mathcal{T}_\sigma = \mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}\mathcal{T}_{P,\sigma}$. First, we have the *non-perturbative transformation* $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}(\mathbf{Z}_g) \equiv \mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}(\mathbf{R}_g, v_{||g}, \mu_g, \theta_g)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} &= \mathbf{R}_g + \epsilon_\sigma \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_g, \mu_g, \theta_g), \\ \mathbf{v} &= v_{||g}\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}_g) + \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_g, \mu_g, \theta_g) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_g), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

with the gyroradius vector defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_g, \mu_g, \theta_g) = -\sqrt{\frac{2\mu_g}{B(\mathbf{R}_g)}} \left[\sin\theta_g \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{R}_g) - \cos\theta_g \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{R}_g)\right]. \tag{54}$$

Second, the *perturbative transformation*

$$(\mathbf{R}_g, v_{||g}, \mu_g, \theta_g) = \mathcal{T}_{P,\sigma}(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta, t), \tag{55}$$

that is written as a power series in ϵ_σ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_g &= \mathbf{R} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 \mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3), \\ v_{||g} &= u + \epsilon_\sigma u_{\sigma,1}, + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2), \\ \mu_g &= \mu + \epsilon_\sigma \mu_{\sigma,1} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2), \\ \theta_g &= \theta + \epsilon_\sigma \theta_{\sigma,1} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2). \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

The corrections $\mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2}$, $u_{\sigma,1}$, $\mu_{\sigma,1}$ and $\theta_{\sigma,1}$ are given in Appendix B.

In gyrokinetic variables the quasineutrality equation (41) reads

$$\sum_\sigma Z_\sigma \int |\det(J_\sigma)| F_\sigma \delta\Big(\pi^{\mathbf{r}}\Big(\mathcal{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{Z}, t)\Big) - \mathbf{r}\Big) \mathrm{d}^6 Z = 0, \tag{57}$$

where $\pi^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) := \mathbf{r}$, and the Jacobian of $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ to $O(\epsilon_\sigma^2)$ is

$$|\det(J_\sigma)| = B^*_{||,\sigma}, \tag{58}$$

with $B^*_{||,\sigma}$ defined in (A.7).

Finally, we recall that the gyrokinetic equations are written naturally in terms of a function ϕ_σ defined as

$$\phi_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, \mu, \theta, t) := \varphi(\mathbf{R} + \epsilon_\sigma \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}, \mu, \theta), t). \tag{59}$$

It is useful to introduce its gyrophase-independent piece $\langle\phi_\sigma\rangle$ and its gyrophase-dependent one $\tilde{\phi}_\sigma$,

$$\tilde{\phi}_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, \mu, \theta, t) := \phi_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, \mu, \theta, t) - \langle\phi_\sigma\rangle(\mathbf{R}, \mu, t). \tag{60}$$

The gyroaverage of a function $G(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta, t)$ is defined by

$$\langle G\rangle(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, t) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} G(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta, t)\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{61}$$

The ordering assumptions on φ, equations (42), imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi_\sigma^{\rm sw}\rangle &= \langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw}\rangle + \epsilon_s\langle\phi_{\sigma 2}^{\rm sw}\rangle + O(\epsilon_s^2),\\ \tilde{\phi}_\sigma^{\rm sw} &= \tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw} + \epsilon_s\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 2}^{\rm sw} + O(\epsilon_s^2).\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

A Taylor expansion of $\phi_\sigma^{\rm lw}$ around $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{R}$ is allowed. Employing the convention $\mathbf{uv} :\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}= \mathbf{v}\cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}} \cdot\mathbf{u}$ for an arbitrary matrix $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}$ and vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi_\sigma^{\rm lw}\rangle(\mathbf{R},\mu,t) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_s}\varphi_0(\mathbf{R},t) + \varphi_1^{\rm lw}(\mathbf{R},t)\\ &+\epsilon_s\Bigg(\frac{\mu}{2Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2 B(\mathbf{R})}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})) : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0(\mathbf{R},t)\\ &+\varphi_2^{\rm lw}(\mathbf{R},t)\Bigg) + O(\epsilon_s^2)\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}_\sigma^{\rm lw}(\mathbf{R},\mu,\theta,t) = \frac{1}{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R},\mu,\theta)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0(\mathbf{R},t) + O(\epsilon_s), \tag{64}$$

giving $\tilde{\phi}_\sigma^{\rm lw} = O(1)$.

4.3. Gyrokinetic expansion of the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum

We start by writing the right-hand side of equation (35) in terms of dimensionless variables. We use the normalizations

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &= n_{e0}T_0B_0L^2\underline{\Pi}\\ \Pi_\sigma &= n_{e0}T_0B_0L^2\underline{\Pi_\sigma}\\ N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma &= \epsilon_s n_{e0}c_s\underline{N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma}\\ \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma &= n_{e0}T_0\underline{\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma}.\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Thus, in dimensionless variables (we do not underline them anymore),

$$N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma(\mathbf{r},t) := \frac{\tau_\sigma}{\epsilon_s}\int \mathbf{v}f_\sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)\mathrm{d}^3v \tag{66}$$

and

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma(\mathbf{r},t) := \int \mathbf{vv}f_\sigma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)\mathrm{d}^3v. \tag{67}$$

Also, we use the conventions

$$N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma = (N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_1 + \epsilon_\sigma(N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_2 + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2) \tag{68}$$

and

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{\sigma 0} + \epsilon_\sigma\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{\sigma 1} + \epsilon_\sigma^2\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{\sigma 2} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3). \tag{69}$$

In these variables, equation (35) reads

$$\Pi_\sigma^{\rm lw} = \frac{\epsilon_s^2}{\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle \partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi R N_\sigma (\mathbf{V}_\sigma\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^2}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi R^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^2}\langle R^2\rangle_\psi\partial_{\epsilon_s^2 t}p_\sigma - \frac{\epsilon_s}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}\left\langle\int\sum_{\sigma'}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\rm lw}R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{6Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\int\sum_{\sigma'}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\rm lw}R^3(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^3{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle\int S_\sigma R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi, \tag{70}$$

where we have omitted the arguments of the collision operators to ease the notation slightly.

We turn to write the right-hand side of (70) in terms of the solutions obtained from the gyrokinetic Fokker-Planck and quasineutrality equations that are given in Sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 6. From the Fokker-Planck equation one obtains, order by order, $F_{\sigma 0}$, $F_{\sigma 1}$ and $F_{\sigma 2}$, where our convention is

$$F_\sigma(\mathbf{R},u,\mu,\theta) = F_{\sigma 0}(\mathbf{R},u,\mu) + \epsilon_\sigma F_{\sigma 1}(\mathbf{R},u,\mu)$$
$$+\epsilon_\sigma^2 F_{\sigma 2}(\mathbf{R},u,\mu,\theta) + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3). \tag{71}$$

In reference [28], it is shown that $F_{\sigma 1}$ does not depend on the gyrophase and that $F_{\sigma 0}$ is a Maxwellian with zero flow, whose density and temperature are flux functions,

$$F_{\sigma 0} = \frac{n_\sigma(\psi,t)}{(2\pi T(\psi,t))^{3/2}}\exp\left(-\frac{u^2/2+\mu B}{T(\psi,t)}\right). \tag{72}$$

Note the different notation employed for the density of the Maxwellian, n_σ, and for the total density, N_σ, in (18). The temperatures of all species are equal because due to our ordering, τ_E is much larger than the collision time of all species, including electrons. In particular, we are not expanding in $\sqrt{m_e/m_i}\ll 1$. To see the effect that such an expansion would have on the result, we consider the equations in [2], valid only for $B_p/B \ll 1$. By exploiting that $\sqrt{m_e/m_i}\ll 1$, reference [2] finds momentum transport driven by the temperature difference between electrons and ions, $T_e - T_i$. Our equations will have this effect, although only in the limit $|T_e - T_i| \ll T_e \simeq T_i$. The temperature difference $T_e - T_i$ is contained in the piece $F_{\sigma 2}$.

The notation for the expansion of the electrostatic potential has been given in (44), (45), (62), (63) and (64). As for the expansion of the collision operator, we define each coefficient by

$$C_{\sigma\sigma'} = \epsilon_\sigma C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(2)} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3). \tag{73}$$

Here, we have taken into account that the $O(\epsilon_\sigma^0)$ term equals zero because the collision operator vanishes when acting on Maxwellians with the same temperatures.

Observe that $F_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta)$ is obtained from the gyrokinetic Fokker-Planck equation, and is therefore expressed naturally in gyrokinetic coordinates, but the collision operator and other functions entering the integrals in (70) are written in coordinates $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$. The simplest way to express (70) is given by transforming the relevant pieces of $F_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta)$ to coordinates $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$. In 4.3.1 we write the necessary transformations. We employ the notation of subsection 4.2. In 4.3.2, we manipulate the terms of (70) containing collision operators. In 4.3.3, we give the final expression for the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum.

4.3.1. Some pieces of the transformation of F_σ to euclidean coordinates. We need the long-wavelength component of the transformation of the Maxwellian to first and second order. To $O(\epsilon_\sigma)$ the calculation is given in Appendix C and the result is

$$\left[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} = \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} + \frac{\epsilon_\sigma}{T}\left[\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{V}_\sigma^p + \left(\frac{v^2}{2T_\sigma} - \frac{5}{2}\right)\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{V}^T + \frac{Z_\sigma}{B}\mathbf{v}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0)\right]\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}, \tag{74}$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}_\sigma^p := \frac{1}{n_\sigma B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}p_\sigma, \quad \mathbf{V}^T := \frac{1}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T, \tag{75}$$

To $O(\epsilon_\sigma^2)$, the transformation is much more complicated. It was computed in [28],

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} = {} & \frac{1}{2B^2}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : \Bigg[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\ln n_\sigma + \frac{Z_\sigma}{T}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0 \\
& - \frac{Z_\sigma}{T^2}(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0) + \left(\frac{v^2}{2T} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\ln T \\
& - \frac{v^2}{2T^3}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T\Bigg]\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} + \frac{1}{2B^2}\Bigg[(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}\right. \\
& \left. + \frac{Z_\sigma\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0}{T} + \left(\frac{v^2}{2T} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\Bigg]^2\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} \\
& + \mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{lw}}\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma} + \frac{Z_\sigma\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0}{T} + \left(\frac{v^2}{2T} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} \\
& + \frac{Z_\sigma^4\tau_\sigma^2}{2T^2}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\left[(\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}})^2\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} + \frac{1}{T}\left[\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_1^{\mathrm{lw}}\right. \\
& + Z_\sigma^4\tau_\sigma^2\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\Psi_{\phi,\sigma}^{\mathrm{lw}} + Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\Psi_{\phi B,\sigma}^{\mathrm{lw}} \\
& + \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\Psi_{B,\sigma} + \frac{Z_\sigma v_\perp^2}{4B^2}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0 \\
& \left. + \frac{Z_\sigma^4\tau_\sigma^2}{B}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\left[\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\partial_\mu\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right]\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{lw}} = \frac{1}{B}\Bigg[& \left(v_{||}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right)\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ & + \mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\left(v_{||}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right)\Bigg] \dot{\times} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{B}\right) \\ & + \frac{v_{||}}{B^2}\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{v_{||}}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\perp} \\ & + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{8B^2}[\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\mathbf{v}_{\perp} - (\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})] : \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ & + \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2B^3}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}B - \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{4B^3}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{\perp}}B, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

where we have used the convention $\mathbf{ab}\dot{\times}\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{a}\times(\mathbf{b}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}})$,

$$\Psi_{\phi B,\sigma}^{\mathrm{lw}} = -\frac{3\mu}{2Z_{\sigma}\tau_{\sigma}B^2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0 - \frac{u^2}{Z_{\sigma}\tau_{\sigma}B^2}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0, \tag{78}$$

$$\Psi_{\phi,\sigma}^{\mathrm{lw}} = -\frac{1}{2Z_{\sigma}^2\tau_{\sigma}^2B^2}|\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0|^2 - \frac{1}{2B}\partial_{\mu}\left[\langle(\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}})^2\rangle\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}, \tag{79}$$

and $\Psi_{B,\sigma}$ is given in (A.10).

We also need the long-wavelength component of the action of $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1*}$ on $F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}}$ and $F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}$. Employing repeatedly the results of Appendix C, it is straightforward to find that

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}}]^{\mathrm{lw}} = -\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\Bigg\{ & \boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \\ & + \Bigg(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho} + \frac{B}{4}[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}] : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ & - \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\Bigg)\partial_u + \Bigg(- \frac{\mu}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B \\ & - \frac{u}{4}[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}] : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{u\mu}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ & - \frac{u^2}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho} - \frac{Z_{\sigma}}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0\Bigg)\partial_{\mu}\Bigg\}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}} \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}]^{\mathrm{lw}} = -\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\Bigg[& \Bigg(\frac{Z_{\sigma}^2\tau_{\sigma}}{B^2}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{\perp}/\epsilon_{\sigma}}\widetilde{\Phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{\perp}/\epsilon_{\sigma}} \\ & - \frac{Z_{\sigma}^2\tau_{\sigma}\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}}{B}\partial_{\mu}\Bigg)F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\Bigg]^{\mathrm{lw}}. \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

The short-wavelength components of the action of $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{-1*}$ on F_{σ} to first and second order, denoted respectively by $(\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{-1*}F_{\sigma})_1^{\mathrm{sw}}$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{-1*}F_{\sigma})_2^{\mathrm{sw}}$, are also needed. We introduce an operator $\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}$, whose action on a phase-space function $G(\mathbf{R},u,\mu,\theta)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}) := G\left(\mathbf{r} - \frac{\epsilon_{\sigma}}{B(\mathbf{r})}\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})\times\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}), \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2B(\mathbf{r})}, \arctan\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r})}\right)\right). \tag{82}$$

This operator is useful to write some expressions involving the short-wavelength pieces of the distribution functions and the potential, for which it is not possible to Taylor expand the dependence on $\mathbf{r} - \epsilon_\sigma B(\mathbf{r})^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{v}$ around $\mathbf{r}$. Usually, G in (82) also depends slowly on $\mathbf{R}$ due to the quantities related to the magnetic field such as $B(\mathbf{R})$ or $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})$. In $\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v})$ this dependence is Taylor expanded around $\mathbf{r}$.

With the help of (82) and Appendix C we obtain

$$(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_1^{\mathrm{sw}} = \mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} - \frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}. \tag{83}$$

Finally, we have to compute $(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_2^{\mathrm{sw}}$. For this, we need again the expressions in Appendix C and the calculation of the short-wavelength transformation of the Maxwellian to second order, explained in Appendix D. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_2^{\mathrm{sw}} &= \mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}} \\
&+\Big[\Big(\mathbf{R}_{02}\cdot\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}/\epsilon_\sigma} - (\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} + \mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{sw}})\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_\mu \\
&-\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{u}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_u - (\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} + \mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{sw}})\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_\theta\Big)F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\Big]^{\mathrm{sw}} \\
&-\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\partial_\mu F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}} + \frac{[H_{01}^2]^{\mathrm{sw}}}{2T^2}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} - \frac{H_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}}{T}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} \\
&-\frac{H_{01}^{\mathrm{sw}}}{TB}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma} + \left(\frac{v^2}{2T} - \frac{5}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0} \\
&+\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma} + \left(\frac{v^2}{2T} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0},
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

The corrections $\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{lw}}$, $\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}$, H_{01}^{lw}, H_{01}^{sw} and H_{02}^{sw} are provided in (77), (D.5), (D.6), (D.7) and (D.9). Using the expressions for $\hat{u}_{\sigma,1}$, $\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1}$ in (C.5), it is easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{u}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} &= -\frac{u}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} - \frac{1}{4B}[(\mathbf{v}_\perp\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}_\perp + \mathbf{v}_\perp(\mathbf{v}_\perp\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})] : \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad - \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}},
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} &= \frac{\mu}{B^2}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}B + \frac{u}{4B^2}\Big((\mathbf{v}_\perp\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\mathbf{v}_\perp + \mathbf{v}_\perp(\mathbf{v}_\perp\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\Big) : \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad + \frac{u\mu}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{u^2}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + \frac{Z_\sigma}{B^2}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} &= \frac{1}{B}\mathbf{v}_\perp\cdot\Big(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\ln B + \frac{u^2}{2\mu B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1\Big) - \frac{u}{8\mu B^2}\Big((\mathbf{v}_\perp\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}_\perp\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}) - \mathbf{v}_\perp\mathbf{v}_\perp\Big) : \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad + \frac{u}{2B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}B + \frac{Z_\sigma}{2\mu B^2}\mathbf{v}_\perp\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

$$\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B(\mathbf{r})}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\mathbf{r},t) \tag{88}$$

and

$$\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\theta}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}(\mathbf{r},t)=\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B(\mathbf{r})}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}(\mathbf{r},t). \tag{89}$$

4.3.2. Manipulations of terms in (70) that contain collision operators. We are ready to go back to (70) and write more explicitly the terms on the right side. The term before last in (70) is

$$-\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{6Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\int\sum_{\sigma'}C^{\rm lw}_{\sigma\sigma'}R^3(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^3{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi=$$
$$-\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{6Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^3}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\int\sum_{\sigma'}C^{(1){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}R^3(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^3{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi, \tag{90}$$

where

$$C^{(1){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}=C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\frac{1}{T}\left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{V}^p_\sigma+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{5}{2}\right)\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{V}^T_\sigma\right)\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 0}\right.$$
$$\left.+\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1},\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 0}\right]+\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 0},\frac{1}{T}\left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{V}^p_{\sigma'}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{5}{2}\right)\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{V}^T_{\sigma'}\right)\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 0}+\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma' 1}\right]. \tag{91}$$

Here, we have used (74) and the Galilean invariance of the collision operator to drop the term containing φ_0 in (74).

The fourth term on the right side of (70) has two contributions of different orders,

$$-\frac{\epsilon_s}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}\left\langle\int\sum_{\sigma'}C^{\rm lw}_{\sigma\sigma'}R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi=$$
$$-\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{2Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle\int\sum_{\sigma'}C^{(1){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{2Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^3}\left\langle\int\sum_{\sigma'}C^{(2){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2{\rm d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi. \tag{92}$$

The first-term on the right side can be computed by using (91). As for the second term, employing (74), (76), (80), (81), and (83) we immediately get

$$C^{(2){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}=C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 2}+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1}]^{\rm lw}\right.$$
$$\left.+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}]^{\rm lw}+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,2}F_{\sigma 0}]^{\rm lw},\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 0}\right]$$
$$+\left(\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}\right)^2C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 0},\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma' 2}\right.$$
$$\left.+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',1}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma' 1}]^{\rm lw}+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',1}F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma' 1}]^{\rm lw}+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',2}F_{\sigma' 0}]^{\rm lw}\right]$$

$$+\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 1}^{\rm lw}+[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}]^{\rm lw},\mathcal{T}_{\sigma',0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma' 1}^{\rm lw}+[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma',1}^{-1*}F_{\sigma' 0}]^{\rm lw}\right]$$
$$+\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}\left[C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw}-\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0},\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 1}^{\rm sw}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.-\frac{Z_{\sigma'}^2\tau_{\sigma'}}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma' 1}^{\rm sw}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma',0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma' 0}\right]\right]^{\rm lw}. \tag{93}$$

4.3.3. Final expression for the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum. We turn to the first two terms on the right-hand side of (70). The second one is simple,

$$\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^2}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left\langle V'\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi R^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_\sigma\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}=$$
$$\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{2Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^3}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi\left[\left\langle V'\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_1^{\rm sw}R^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi\right]^{\rm lw}, \tag{94}$$

because only the short-wavelength, $O(\epsilon_s)$ piece of the stress tensor contributes. Namely,

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw}(\mathbf{r},t):=\int\mathbf{vv}(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)\mathrm{d}^3v, \tag{95}$$

where $(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)$ is defined in (83).

The first term on the right-hand side of (70) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi RN_\sigma(\mathbf{V}_\sigma\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}=$$
$$\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_1^{\rm sw}R(N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^3}\left\langle\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_1^{\rm sw}R(N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_2^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{\epsilon_s^3}{\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_2^{\rm sw}R(N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw} \tag{96}$$

and therefore expressed in terms of

$$(N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_j^{\rm sw}(\mathbf{r},t):=\tau_\sigma\int\mathbf{v}(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_j^{\rm sw}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t)\mathrm{d}^3v \tag{97}$$

for $j=1,2$. The quantity $(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}$ has been given in (83) and $(\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_\sigma)_2^{\rm sw}$ has been given in (84).

We have found that the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum is

$$\Pi^{\rm lw}=\epsilon_s^2\Pi_2^{\rm lw}+\epsilon_s^3\Pi_3^{\rm lw}+O(\epsilon_s^4), \tag{98}$$

where

$$\Pi_2^{\rm lw}=-\sum_\sigma\frac{1}{2Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle\int\sum_{\sigma'}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1){\rm lw}}R^2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2\mathrm{d}^3v\right\rangle_\psi$$
$$+\sum_\sigma\frac{1}{\tau_\sigma^2}\left[\left\langle\partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_1^{\rm sw}R(N_\sigma\mathbf{V}_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\right\rangle_\psi\right]^{\rm lw} \tag{99}$$

and

$$\Pi_3^{\rm lw} = \sum_\sigma \Bigg\{ -\frac{1}{6Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^3}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi \left\langle V' \int \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\rm lw} R^3 (\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^3 {\rm d}^3 v \right\rangle_\psi$$
$$-\frac{1}{2Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^3}\left\langle \int \sum_{\sigma'} C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(2)\rm lw} R^2 (\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2 {\rm d}^3 v \right\rangle_\psi + \frac{1}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^2}\langle R^2\rangle_\psi \partial_{\epsilon_s^2 t} p_\sigma$$
$$+\frac{1}{2Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^3}\frac{1}{V'}\partial_\psi \left\langle V' \partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_1^{\rm sw} R^2 (\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{P}}{}_{\sigma 1}^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) \right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{1}{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^3}\left\langle \partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_1^{\rm sw} R (N_\sigma \mathbf{V}_\sigma)_2^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{1}{\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle \partial_{\zeta/\epsilon_s}\varphi_2^{\rm sw} R (N_\sigma \mathbf{V}_\sigma)_1^{\rm sw}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \right\rangle_\psi^{\rm lw}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma^2}\left\langle \int S_\sigma R^2 (\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})^2 {\rm d}^3 v \right\rangle_\psi \Bigg\}. \qquad (100)$$

The computation of the third term on the right-hand side of (100) indicates that the transport equations for n_σ and T are needed. To calculate n_σ, we use the density transport equation of each species. Since we are assuming that the temperatures of all species are equal, we can use the transport equation for the total energy to determine T. The required transport equations were calculated in [28] and can be found in subsection 6.3 of the present paper. Importantly, $\langle F_{\sigma 2}^{\rm lw}\rangle$ does not enter these transport equations. The piece $\langle F_{\sigma 2}^{\rm lw}\rangle$ only enters the expression for $\Pi^{\rm lw}$ through $C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(2)\rm lw}$, and it is clear that adding a term $(Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^2\varphi_2^{\rm lw}/T)F_{\sigma 0}$ to $\langle F_{\sigma 2}^{\rm lw}\rangle$ in those terms does not change the result. This is why the $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ long-wavelength quasineutrality equation is not needed.

Even though, in principle, $\Pi_2^{\rm lw}$ dominates (98), this term is small in up-down symmetric tokamaks due to a symmetry of the gyrokinetic equation, and it is comparable to $\Pi_3^{\rm lw}$ [20, 41, 2]. The feasibility of using external poloidal field coils to break up-down symmetry and generate intrinsic rotation has been explored in [42, 43, 44]. The largest second order momentum flux obtained from up-down asymmetry has been $\Pi_2^{\rm lw}/Q \sim 0.1$, where Q is the turbulent heat flux normalized by the gyroBohm heat flux. According to [2, 45], $\Pi_3^{\rm lw}/Q \sim B/B_p$. These estimates suggest that $\Pi_3^{\rm lw}$ dominates over the up-down asymmetry contribution to $\Pi_2^{\rm lw}$ for $(B/B_p)\epsilon \gtrsim 0.1$. For $(B/B_p)\epsilon \lesssim 0.1$, the importance of $\Pi_3^{\rm lw}$ depends on how up-down asymmetric the tokamak is. Note that according to [44] not all possible up-down asymmetric shapes are equally effective.

The next sections are devoted to present the equations that give the short and long-wavelength components of the distribution function and electrostatic potential that are needed to evaluate the right sides of (99) and (100).

5. Short-wavelength equations

In this section the equations that determine the short-wavelength components of the distribution functions and electrostatic potential up to $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ are provided. The equations to $O(\epsilon_s)$ constitute the standard set of gyrokinetic equations. We give them for completeness in subsection 5.1. The equations for the $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ turbulent pieces are one of the main results of this paper and are derived in subsections 5.2 and 5.3. Note that the $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ short-wavelength equations given in [2] are valid only if $B/B_p \gg 1$.

5.1. Short-wavelength Fokker-Planck and quasineutrality equations to first order

The first-order, short-wavelength terms of the Fokker-Planck equation are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\tau_\sigma}\partial_t F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} + \left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} - \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B\partial_u\right)F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}\left(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\right]^{\mathrm{sw}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{u^2}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa} + \frac{\mu}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B + \frac{Z_\sigma}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} \\
&\quad + \frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}\left(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}F_{\sigma 0} \\
&\quad - Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\left(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle + \frac{u}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\right)\partial_u F_{\sigma 0} \\
&\quad = \sum_{\sigma'}\left\langle\mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}^{*}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\mathrm{sw}}\right\rangle. \qquad (101)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $F_{\sigma 1}$ does not depend on the gyrophase and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\mathrm{sw}} &= C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} - \frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}, \mathcal{T}_{\sigma',0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma' 0}\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}, \mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} - \frac{Z_{\sigma'}^2\tau_{\sigma'}}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma' 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma',0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma' 0}\right], \qquad (102)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have employed (83). The transformation $(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}(\mathbf{R},u,\mu,\theta)$ is defined in (53).

As for the short-wavelength, first-order quasineutrality equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_\sigma\int B\Bigg[-Z_\sigma^2\widetilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\left(\mathbf{r}-\epsilon_\sigma\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r},\mu,\theta),\mu,\theta,t\right)\frac{F_{\sigma 0}(\mathbf{r},u,\mu,t)}{T_\sigma(\mathbf{r},t)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\tau_\sigma}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\left(\mathbf{r}-\epsilon_\sigma\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r},\mu,\theta),u,\mu,t\right)\Bigg]\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta = 0. \qquad (103)
\end{aligned}$$

These equations are well known and were derived in this form in reference [28]. They determine the lowest-order turbulent contributions to the fields, $F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}$ and φ_1^{sw}.

5.2. Short-wavelength Fokker-Planck equation to second order

In this section and in Section 5.3, we compute the $O(\epsilon_\sigma^2)$ terms of the short-wavelength Fokker-Planck and quasineutrality equations, respectively.

5.2.1. Gyrophase-dependent component of $F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}}$. The equation that determines $F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}} - \langle F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}} \rangle$ comes from the gyrophase-dependent part of the $O(\epsilon_\sigma)$ terms in the Fokker-Planck equation (52),

$$\partial_\theta \left(F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}} - \langle F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}} \rangle \right) = -\frac{1}{B} \sum_{\sigma'} \left(\mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}^* C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\mathrm{sw}} - \left\langle \mathcal{T}_{NP,\sigma}^* C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\mathrm{sw}} \right\rangle \right). \tag{104}$$

5.2.2. Gyroaveraged component of $F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}}$. This subsection is devoted to the manipulations leading to the final form of the second-order, short-wavelength, gyroaveraged Fokker-Planck equation. Recall equation (52) and recall that $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\dot{u}$ are given in Appendix A. We have to identify the pieces of these equations of motion that contribute to the gyroaveraged second-order Fokker-Planck equation at short wavelengths.

Equation (A.1) can be written as $\dot{\mathbf{R}}_\sigma = \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,0} + \epsilon_\sigma \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,1} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,2} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3)$, where $\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,0} = u\hat{\mathbf{b}}$. The first-order terms are

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,1} = \frac{1}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (u^2 \boldsymbol{\kappa} + \mu \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B) + \frac{Z_\sigma}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_0 + Z_\sigma \tau_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \langle \phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} \rangle \right), \tag{105}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\kappa} := \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}$. We remind the reader that we are using the conventions (44), (45) and (62), and also the formulae (63) and (64). The contribution of the second-order terms of (A.1) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,2} = & \\
& -\frac{u\mu}{B}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{K})_\perp - \frac{u^3}{B^2}(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \boldsymbol{\kappa})(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
& + \left(Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \partial_u \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} + \partial_u \Psi_{B,\sigma} \right) \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
& + \frac{1}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \left(Z_\sigma^4 \tau_\sigma^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \Psi_{\phi,\sigma}^{\mathrm{sw}} + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma}^{\mathrm{sw}} \right) \\
& - \frac{u}{B^2}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \left(\mu \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B + Z_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_0 + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \langle \phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}} \rangle \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \left(Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_1^{\mathrm{lw}} + Z_\sigma^3 \tau_\sigma^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \langle \phi_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}} \rangle \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ is defined in (A.6).

The terms corresponding to the equation of motion of the parallel velocity given in (A.2) can be written as $\dot{u}_\sigma = \dot{u}_{\sigma,0} + \epsilon_\sigma \dot{u}_{\sigma,1} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 \dot{u}_{\sigma,2} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^3)$, where

$$\dot{u}_{\sigma,0} = -\mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B \tag{107}$$

and

$$\dot{u}_{\sigma,1} = -Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\langle\phi^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}\rangle + \varphi^{\rm lw}_1\right) \\ -\frac{u}{B}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa})\cdot\left(\mu\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B + Z_\sigma\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0 + Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}\rangle\right). \tag{108}$$

As for the second-order terms, $\dot{u}_{\sigma,2}$, only the short-wavelength component contributes,

$$\dot{u}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,2} = \\ -Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left(Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma\langle\phi^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 2}\rangle + Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\Psi^{\rm sw}_{\phi,\sigma} + \Psi^{\rm sw}_{\phi B,\sigma}\right) \\ -Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\frac{u}{B}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\left(Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma\langle\phi^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 2}\rangle + Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\Psi^{\rm sw}_{\phi,\sigma} + \Psi^{\rm sw}_{\phi B,\sigma}\right) \\ +\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}\left(\frac{u^2}{B}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\boldsymbol{\kappa}) + \mu(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\mathbf{K})_\perp\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}\rangle. \tag{109}$$

Then, the $O(\epsilon_\sigma^2)$, gyroaveraged terms of (52) at short wavelengths are

$$\partial_t\langle F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 2}\rangle + \tau_\sigma(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} - \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B\partial_u)\langle F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 2}\rangle \\ + \left[\tau_\sigma\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,1}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 2}\rangle\right]^{\rm sw} + \tau_\sigma\dot{u}_{\sigma,0}\partial_u\langle F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 2}\rangle \\ +\tau_\sigma\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1} \\ +\tau_\sigma\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}F_{\sigma 0} + \left[\tau_\sigma\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\sigma,2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}\right]^{\rm sw} \\ + [\tau_\sigma\dot{u}_{\sigma,1}\partial_u F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}]^{\rm sw} + \tau_\sigma\dot{u}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_u F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1} + \tau_\sigma\dot{u}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,2}\partial_u F_{\sigma 0} = \\ \left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_\sigma C_{\sigma\sigma'}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma, \mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'}]\right]^{\rm sw}_2\right\rangle, \tag{110}$$

where we have used that the right-hand sides of (A.1), (A.2), and (A.4) are gyrophase-independent, and so are $F_{\sigma 1}$ and $F_{\sigma 0}$. The gyrophase independence of the equations of motion is the reason why (110) does not contain contributions from the equation of motion for θ, (A.4).

Next, we deal with the collision terms in (110). First, we write them as

$$\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_\sigma C_{\sigma\sigma'}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma, \mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'}]\right]^{\rm sw}_2\right\rangle = \\ \left\langle\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 0}, (\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'})^{\rm sw}_2]\right\rangle \\ +\left\langle\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm sw}_2, \mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma' 0}F_{\sigma' 0}]\right\rangle \\ +\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)_1, (\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'})_1]\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle$$

$$+\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 0},(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'})_1]\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle$$
$$+\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma}F_{\sigma})_1,\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 0}]\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle. \tag{111}$$

Let us start by writing the short-wavelength component of the action of $\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}$ on any phase-space function $f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v})$:

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}f]^{\rm sw} &= \hat{\mu}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\mu(\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}f^{\rm lw})+\hat{\theta}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\theta(\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}f^{\rm lw})\\
&+[\mathbf{R}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}(\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}f^{\rm sw})]^{\rm sw}+[\mu^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\mu(\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}f^{\rm sw})]^{\rm sw}\\
&+[\theta^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\theta(\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}f^{\rm sw})]^{\rm sw}+(\mathbf{R}^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\\
&+u^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_u+\mu^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\mu+\theta^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\theta)\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}f^{\rm sw},\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

with $\hat{u}_{\sigma,1}$, $\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}$, $\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1}$ given in (C.5), and $\mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2}$, $u_{\sigma,1}$, $\mu_{\sigma,1}$, $\theta_{\sigma,1}$ given in (B.1), (B.2), (B.3), (B.4). Note the difference between $(\mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2},u_{\sigma,1},\mu_{\sigma,1},\theta_{\sigma,1})$ and $(\boldsymbol{\rho},\hat{u}_{\sigma,1},\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1},\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1})$. The former give the give the lowest order terms of the perturbative transformation defined in (55). The latter are the $O(\epsilon_\sigma)$ terms of the complete transformation $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ from gyrokinetic coordinates to euclidean coordinates.

Equation (112) is useful to write the two last terms on the right side of (111),

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma 0},(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'})_1]\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle\\
&+\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma}F_{\sigma})_1,\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma' 0}]\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle=\\
&\left\langle\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}(\hat{\mu}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\mu+\hat{\theta}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\theta)\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\\
&+\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{R}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}+\mu^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\mu+\theta^{\rm sw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\theta)\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}C^{(1){\rm sw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle\\
&+\left\langle\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{R}^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,2}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}+u^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_u+\mu^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\mu+\theta^{\rm lw}_{\sigma,1}\partial_\theta)\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}C^{(1){\rm sw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where $C^{(1){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}$ and $C^{(1){\rm sw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}$ have been defined, respectively, in equations (91) and (102).

Define, for convenience,

$$(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm lw}_1=\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1}+\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F_{\sigma 0}\right]^{\rm lw}, \tag{114}$$

where the last term is given in (74). Using (83) and (114) we can write the third term on the right side of (111) as the sum of three pieces:

$$\left\langle\left[\tau_\sigma\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma}F_{\sigma})_1,(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'}F_{\sigma'})_1]\right]^{\rm sw}\right\rangle=$$

$$\left\langle \tau_\sigma \sum_{\sigma'} \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0} C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm sw}_1, (\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'} F_{\sigma'})^{\rm lw}_1] \right\rangle$$
$$+ \left\langle \tau_\sigma \sum_{\sigma'} \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0} C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm lw}_1, (\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'} F_{\sigma'})^{\rm sw}_1] \right\rangle$$
$$+ \left\langle \left[\tau_\sigma \sum_{\sigma'} \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0} C_{\sigma\sigma'}[(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm sw}_1, (\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma'} F_{\sigma'})^{\rm sw}_1] \right]^{\rm sw} \right\rangle . \tag{115}$$

In order to reach explicit expressions for the first two terms on the right side of (111), one needs $(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm sw}_2$, which is given in (84).

5.3. Short-wavelength quasineutrality equation to second order

The effort made in previous sections immediately gives the $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ short-wavelength contributions to (41) in terms of already calculated quantities. Namely,

$$\sum_\sigma \frac{1}{Z_\sigma \tau_\sigma^2} \int (\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm sw}_2 {\rm d}^3 v = 0, \tag{116}$$

where $(\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_\sigma F_\sigma)^{\rm sw}_2$ is given in (84).

6. Long-wavelength equations

The results of this section are taken from reference [28]. They are included in this paper because, as we have seen in subsection 4.3, the $O(\epsilon_s)$ and $O(\epsilon_s^2)$ long-wavelength pieces of the distribution functions and the $O(\epsilon_s)$ long-wavelength pieces of the electrostatic potential are needed to compute the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum.

6.1. Long-wavelength Fokker-Planck equation up to second order

The equation for the first-order piece $F^{\rm lw}_\sigma$ is conveniently written in terms of

$$G^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1} := F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1} + \left\{ \frac{Z^2_\sigma \tau_\sigma}{T} \varphi^{\rm lw}_1 + \frac{Iu}{B} \left(\frac{Z_\sigma}{T} \partial_\psi \varphi_0 + \Upsilon_\sigma \right) \right\} F_{\sigma 0}, \tag{117}$$

where

$$\Upsilon_\sigma := \partial_\psi \ln n_\sigma + \left(\frac{u^2/2 + \mu B}{T} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \partial_\psi \ln T \, . \tag{118}$$

It reads

$$\left(u \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} - \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B \partial_u \right) G^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma'} \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0} C_{\sigma\sigma'} \left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0} \left(G^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1} - \frac{Iu}{B} \Upsilon_\sigma F_{\sigma 0} \right), \mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0} F_{\sigma' 0} \right]$$
$$+ \sum_{\sigma'} \frac{Z_\sigma \tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'} \tau_{\sigma'}} \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0} C_{\sigma\sigma'} \left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0} F_{\sigma 0}, \mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0} \left(G^{\rm lw}_{\sigma' 1} - \frac{Iu}{B} \Upsilon_{\sigma'} F_{\sigma' 0} \right) \right]. \tag{119}$$

In order to write the second-order, long-wavelength, gyroaveraged Fokker-Planck equation, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 2} = {} & \langle F^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 2}\rangle + \frac{Z^3_\sigma \tau^2_\sigma}{T}\varphi^{\mathrm{lw}}_2 F_{\sigma 0} + \frac{Iu}{B}\partial_\psi G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 1} - \frac{Z^2_\sigma \tau_\sigma \varphi^{\mathrm{lw}}_1}{u}\partial_u G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 1} \\
& - \frac{I}{B}\left(\mu\partial_\psi B + Z_\sigma \partial_\psi \varphi_0\right)\partial_u G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 1} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{Z^2_\sigma \tau_\sigma \varphi^{\mathrm{lw}}_1}{T}\right)^2 F_{\sigma 0} \\
& - \frac{Z^2_\sigma \tau_\sigma Iu}{TB}\varphi^{\mathrm{lw}}_1 F_{\sigma 0}\left(\frac{Z_\sigma}{T}\partial_\psi \varphi_0 + \Upsilon_\sigma - \frac{1}{T}\partial_\psi T\right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2B^2}\left((Iu)^2 + \mu B|\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi|^2\right)\Bigg[- \frac{2Z_\sigma}{T^2}\partial_\psi\varphi_0\partial_\psi T \\
& + \left(\frac{Z_\sigma}{T}\partial_\psi\varphi_0 + \Upsilon_\sigma\right)^2 + \partial^2_\psi \ln n_\sigma \\
& + \left(\frac{u^2/2 + \mu B}{T} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\partial^2_\psi \ln T \\
& - \frac{u^2/2 + \mu B}{T}(\partial_\psi \ln T)^2 + \frac{Z_\sigma}{T}\partial^2_\psi \varphi_0\Bigg] F_{\sigma 0} \\
& + \left[\frac{Z^2_\sigma \tau_\sigma}{u\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta} F^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}\rangle)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} \\
& - \left\langle \frac{1}{u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle \\
& - \frac{Z^4_\sigma\tau^2_\sigma}{2T^2}\left[\left\langle(\widetilde{\phi}^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1})^2\right\rangle\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} F_{\sigma 0} + \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\left(\frac{u}{B}\partial_\mu - \partial_u\right)G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 1} \\
& + \left\langle \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 1}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,2}F_{\sigma 0}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle, \qquad (120)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the two last terms are computed in (E.2) and (E.3).

Then, the equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} - \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B\partial_u\right)G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma 2} + Z^2_\sigma\tau_\sigma\partial_{\epsilon^2_s t}F_{\sigma 0} \\
& \quad - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta\partial_\psi\Bigg\{\frac{Z^2_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta}\left[F^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}\rangle\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\right]^{\mathrm{lw}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta}\left\langle\left(\frac{Iu}{B} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\right)\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\Bigg\} \\
& \quad - \partial_u\Bigg\{\Bigg[Z^2_\sigma\tau_\sigma F^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}\Big(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}\rangle \\
& \quad + \frac{\mu}{uB}\partial_\Theta B(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}\rangle \\
& \quad + \frac{u}{B}[\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})]\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma 1}\rangle\Big)\Bigg]^{\mathrm{lw}} \\
& \quad - \left\langle\frac{I}{B}\left(\mu\partial_\psi B + Z_\sigma\partial_\psi\varphi_0\right)\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\partial_\mu\left\langle \frac{1}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\left(\mu\partial_\psi B+Z_\sigma\partial_\psi\varphi_0\right)\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\\
&=-\sum_{\sigma'}\partial_u\left\langle\left[\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\varphi_1^{\mathrm{lw}}}{u}+\mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.-\frac{1}{u}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\left(\mu\partial_\Theta B\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta+u^2\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)\right]\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\\
&+\sum_{\sigma'}\partial_\mu\left\langle\left[\frac{u\mu}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.-\frac{1}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\left(\mu\partial_\Theta B\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Theta+u^2\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)\right]\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\\
&+\sum_{\sigma'}\left[\left\langle\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C^{(1)\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}+\sum_{\sigma'}\left\langle\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(2)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle\\
&+Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2\left\langle\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}S_\sigma\right\rangle. \qquad (121)
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $\left\langle\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}C^{(2)\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right\rangle$ is given in Appendix E, whereas the gyroaverage of $[\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C^{(1)\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}]^{\mathrm{lw}}$ was computed in [28] and the result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left[\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,1}C^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma\sigma'}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle&=-\partial_\mu\left\langle\left[\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}\tilde{\phi}^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma1}\right.\right.\\
&\left\{\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}F^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma1}-\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\tilde{\phi}^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma1}\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma0},\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma'0}\right]\right.\\
&+\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}\mathcal{T}^*_{NP,\sigma}C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma0},\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}F^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma'1}\right.\\
&\left.\left.\left.-\frac{Z_{\sigma'}^2\tau_{\sigma'}}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}\tilde{\phi}^{\mathrm{sw}}_{\sigma'1}\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma'0}\right]\right\}\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle. \qquad (122)
\end{aligned}
$$

In equation (121) we have added the source term $Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma^2\left\langle\mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0}S_\sigma\right\rangle$ that was not considered in reference [28].

As explained in detail in subsection 5.1 of reference [28], the long-wavelength Fokker-Planck equation, at any order in ϵ_σ, has a non-zero kernel. In order to fix the component along the kernel, one has to impose some conditions. For instance, we can impose, for $j=1,2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\int BG^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma j}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta\right\rangle_\psi=0,\ \text{for every } \sigma, \text{ and}\\
&\left\langle\sum_\sigma\frac{1}{Z_\sigma^j\tau_\sigma^j}\int B\left(u^2/2+\mu B\right)G^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma j}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta\right\rangle_\psi=0. \qquad (123)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a natural way to fix the ambiguity but there are infinitely many different possibilities.

6.2. Long-wavelength quasineutrality equation to first order

To order ϵ_s^0, the quasineutrality equation simply gives a relation among the densities of $F_{\sigma 0}$,

$$\sum_\sigma Z_\sigma n_\sigma(\mathbf{r},t) = 0. \tag{124}$$

In terms of the function $G^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1}$ defined in (117), the quasineutrality equation to first order gives

$$\sum_\sigma \left(\frac{1}{\tau_\sigma} \int B(\mathbf{r}) G^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1}(\mathbf{r},u,\mu,t) \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta \right.$$
$$\left. -\frac{Z_\sigma^2}{T} n_\sigma(\mathbf{r},t)\varphi_1^{\rm lw}(\mathbf{r},t) \right) = 0. \tag{125}$$

It is important to note that $\varphi_1^{\rm lw}$ can be determined from the above equation only up to an additive function of ψ. This is connected to the ambiguity in the determination of $F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma 1}$ mentioned at the end of subsection 6.1. In other words, only $\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_1^{\rm lw}$ can be found. Without loss of generality, we take

$$\left\langle \varphi_1^{\rm lw} \right\rangle_\psi = 0. \tag{126}$$

Again, a longer discussion on this is given in subsection 5.1 of reference [28].

6.3. Transport equations for density and energy

Momentum transport calculations require knowledge of the time evolution of the functions of ψ entering the Maxwellian, i.e. the density of each species and the temperature, which is the same for all of them. We take the relevant transport equations from [28]. The equation for n_σ is

$$\partial_{\epsilon_s^2 t} n_\sigma(\psi,t) = \frac{1}{V'(\psi)}\partial_\psi \left\langle V'(\psi) \int \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta \right. \Big\{$$
$$\left[F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi^{\rm sw}_{\sigma 1}\rangle \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi \right]^{\rm lw}$$
$$+\frac{B}{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}\left\langle \left(\frac{Iu}{B}+\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\right)\sum_{\sigma'} C^{(1){\rm lw}}_{\sigma\sigma'} \right\rangle \Big\} \Big\rangle_\psi$$
$$+\left\langle \int B \left\langle \mathcal{T}^*_{\sigma,0} S_\sigma \right\rangle \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta \right\rangle_\psi . \tag{127}$$

As we have explained in subsection 4.3, with these equations and the transport equation for the total energy,

$$\partial_{\epsilon_s^2 t}\left(\sum_\sigma \frac{3}{2} n_\sigma(\psi,t) T(\psi,t) \right) =$$
$$\frac{1}{V'(\psi)}\partial_\psi \left\langle V'(\psi) \int \left(u^2/2+\mu B\right) \sum_\sigma \right\{$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left[F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\\
&+\frac{B}{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}\left\langle\left(\frac{Iu}{B}+\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\psi\right)\sum_{\sigma'}\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{*}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle\Big\}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta\Big\rangle_\psi\\
&-\Big\langle\sum_\sigma\int B\Big[F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\Big(u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\\
&+\frac{\mu}{B}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\\
&+\frac{u^2}{B}[\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})]\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\Big)\Big]^{\mathrm{lw}}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta\Big\rangle_\psi\\
&+\Big\langle\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'}\frac{1}{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}\int B\left(u^2/2+\mu B\right)\left[\left\langle\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{*}C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(1)\mathrm{sw}}\right\rangle\right]^{\mathrm{lw}}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta\Big\rangle_\psi\\
&\quad+\sum_\sigma\left\langle\int B\left(\frac{u^2}{2}+\mu B\right)\left\langle\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{*}S_\sigma\right\rangle\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\theta\right\rangle_\psi,
\end{aligned}
\tag{128}
$$

the transport equation for the temperature can be calculated.

Note that the calculation of density and energy transport only requires gyrokinetic equations correct to $O(\epsilon_s)$. In other words, it is not necessary to know the long-wavelength radial electric field to solve the transport equations for n_σ and T. Higher order gyrokinetic equations are needed to determine the transport of toroidal angular momentum. This is in contrast to what happens in the high-flow ordering [46, 47, 48, 49, 50], where the plasma velocity is assumed to be $O(c_s)$. In that setting, density, energy and toroidal angular momentum transport can be calculated from the solution of the gyrokinetic equations to $O(\epsilon_s)$.

7. Conclusions

Recently, it has been proven that the computation of radial transport of toroidal angular momentum in a tokamak requires, in the low flow regime, high-order gyrokinetics [1]. This issue has received much attention because the problem is equivalent to determining the tokamak intrinsic rotation profile. In references [26, 27, 2], the equations needed to calculate intrinsic rotation have been given under the assumption $B/B_p \gg 1$, where B_p is the magnitude of the poloidal magnetic field. However, a set of equations valid for tokamaks with large B_p was missing, and it has been derived in this paper. In this section, we restrict ourselves to point out the equations in the text that have to be solved by a code intended to calculate radial transport of toroidal angular momentum in a tokamak. Denote by $\epsilon_s \sim \rho_i/L$ the gyrokinetic expansion parameter, where ρ_i is the ion Larmor radius and L is the variation length of the magnetic field. One needs

The Fokker-Planck equation at short-wavelengths up to $O(\epsilon_s^2)$, equations (101), (104) and (110);

The quasineutrality equation at short-wavelengths up to $O(\epsilon_s^2)$, equations (103) and

(116);

The Fokker-Planck equation at long-wavelengths up to $O(\epsilon_s^2)$, equations (119) and (121);

The quasineutrality equation at long-wavelengths to $O(\epsilon_s)$, equation (125);

The transport equation for the density of each species σ, (127), and for the total energy, (128);

The formula for the radial flux of toroidal angular momentum, equations (98), (99) and (100).

Acknowledgments

I. C. acknowledges the hospitality of Merton College and of the Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, where part of this work was completed. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This research was supported in part by grant ENE2012-30832, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain, by the RCUK Energy Programme (grant number EP/I501045) and by US DoE grant DE-SC008435.

Appendix A. Gyrokinetic equations of motion

The gyrokinetic equations of motion derived in [29] are reproduced here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{R}} = & \\
& \left(u + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma^2 \partial_u \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} + \epsilon_\sigma^2 \partial_u \Psi_{B,\phi}\right) \frac{\mathbf{B}_\sigma^*}{B_{||,\sigma}^*} \\
& + \frac{1}{B_{||,\sigma}^*} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \Big(\epsilon_\sigma \mu \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \langle \phi_\sigma \rangle \\
& + Z_\sigma^4 \tau_\sigma^2 \epsilon_\sigma^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \Psi_{\phi,\sigma} + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} \\
& + Z_\sigma^4 \tau_\sigma^2 \epsilon_\sigma^3 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{\phi,\sigma} + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma^3 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} + \epsilon_\sigma^3 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{B,\sigma} \Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{u} = & \\
& - \frac{\mu}{B_{||,\sigma}^*} \mathbf{B}_\sigma^* \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B - Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \phi_\sigma \rangle \\
& - Z_\sigma^4 \tau_\sigma^2 \epsilon_\sigma^2 \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{\phi,\sigma} - Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma^2 \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} \\
& - \epsilon_\sigma^2 \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{B,\sigma} - \frac{1}{B_{||,\sigma}^*} \Big[u \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \\
& - \epsilon_\sigma \mu (\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{K})_\perp \Big] \cdot \Big(Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \langle \phi_\sigma \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ Z_\sigma^4 \tau_\sigma^2 \epsilon_\sigma^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \Psi_{\phi,\sigma} + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma} \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma}$$
$$+ Z_\sigma^4 \tau_\sigma^2 \epsilon_\sigma^3 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{\phi,\sigma} + Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma^3 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} + \epsilon_\sigma^3 \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \Psi_{B,\sigma} \Big), \tag{A.2}$$

$$\dot{\mu} = 0, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_\sigma} B + O(1). \tag{A.4}$$

Here,

$$\mathbf{B}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu) := \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}) + \epsilon_\sigma u \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) - \epsilon_\sigma^2 \mu \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{R}) \tag{A.5}$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{R}) := \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{R}). \tag{A.6}$$

The last term of (A.6) depends on the definition of the gyrophase, i.e. on the choice of $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{R})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{R})$. It is common to say that this term is "gyrogauge dependent". However, only $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{R})$ enters the gyrokinetic equations, which was shown to be gyrogauge independent in [51].

The parallel component of $\mathbf{B}_\sigma^*$,

$$B_{||,\sigma}^*(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu) := \mathbf{B}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) =$$
$$B(\mathbf{R}) + \epsilon_\sigma u \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})$$
$$-\epsilon_\sigma^2 \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{R}), \tag{A.7}$$

is the Jacobian of the gyrokinetic transformation $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ to $O(\epsilon_\sigma^2)$. Finally,

$$\Psi_{\phi,\sigma} = \frac{1}{2B^2} \left\langle \nabla_{(\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma)} \widetilde{\Phi}_\sigma \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla_{(\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma)} \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \right) \right\rangle$$
$$- \frac{1}{2B} \partial_\mu \langle \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma^2 \rangle, \tag{A.8}$$

$$\Psi_{\phi B,\sigma} = -\frac{u}{B} \left\langle \left(\nabla_{(\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma)} \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho} \right\rangle$$
$$- \frac{\mu}{2B^2} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B \cdot \nabla_{(\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma)} \langle \phi_\sigma \rangle - \frac{1}{B} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B \cdot \langle \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \, \boldsymbol{\rho} \rangle$$
$$- \frac{1}{4B} \left\langle \nabla_{(\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma)} \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \cdot \Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\rho} - (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \Big] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B \right\rangle$$
$$- \frac{u^2}{B} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \left\langle \partial_\mu \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \, \boldsymbol{\rho} \right\rangle - \frac{u^2}{2\mu B} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \langle \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \, \boldsymbol{\rho} \rangle$$
$$+ \frac{u}{4} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} : \left\langle \partial_\mu \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \, \Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \boldsymbol{\rho} \Big] \right\rangle$$
$$+ \frac{u}{4\mu} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} : \left\langle \widetilde{\phi}_\sigma \, \Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \boldsymbol{\rho} \Big] \right\rangle \tag{A.9}$$

and

$$\Psi_{B,\sigma} = -\frac{3u^2 \mu}{2B^2} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{\mu^2}{4B}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}}-\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}):\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}}\\
&-\frac{3\mu^2}{4B^2}|\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp}B|^2+\frac{u^2\mu}{2B}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}:\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\\
&+\left(\frac{\mu^2}{8}-\frac{u^2\mu}{4B}\right)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp}\hat{\mathbf{b}}:(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp}\hat{\mathbf{b}})^{\mathrm{T}}\\
&-\left(\frac{3u^2\mu}{8B}+\frac{\mu^2}{16}\right)(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{b}})^2\\
&+\left(\frac{3u^2\mu}{2B}-\frac{u^4}{2B^2}\right)|\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}|^2\\
&+\left(\frac{u^2\mu}{8B}-\frac{\mu^2}{16}\right)(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})^2. \qquad \text{(A.10)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the transpose of an arbitrary matrix matrix $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}$ and

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_\sigma(\mathbf{R},\mu,\theta,t):=\int^{\theta}\widetilde{\phi}_\sigma(\mathbf{R},\mu,\theta',t)\mathrm{d}\theta'\ , \qquad \text{(A.11)}
$$

where the lower limit of the integral is chosen such that $\langle\widetilde{\Phi}_\sigma\rangle=0$.

Appendix B. Lowest-order terms of the perturbative transformation, $\mathcal{T}_{P,\sigma}$

The expressions for the corrections $\mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2}$, $u_{\sigma,1}$, $\mu_{\sigma,1}$, and $\theta_{\sigma,1}$ found in [29], and valid for arbitrary magnetic geometry, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2}=&-\frac{2u}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})-\frac{u}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}\\
&-\frac{1}{8}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\rho}-(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\Big]:\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\\
&-\frac{1}{2B}\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B-\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{(\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma)}\widetilde{\Phi}_\sigma, \qquad \text{(B.1)}\\
u_{\sigma,1}=&\,u\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}\\
&-\frac{B}{4}\Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})+(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}\Big]:\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \qquad \text{(B.2)}\\
\mu_{\sigma,1}=&-\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\widetilde{\phi}_\sigma}{B}-\frac{u^2}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}\\
&+\frac{u}{4}\Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})+(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}\Big]:\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \qquad \text{(B.3)}\\
\theta_{\sigma,1}=&\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}\partial_\mu\widetilde{\Phi}_\sigma+\frac{u^2}{2\mu B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\\
&+\frac{u}{8\mu}\Big[\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\rho}-(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\Big]:\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\\
&+\frac{1}{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B. \qquad \text{(B.4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Appendix C. Gyrokinetic transformation, $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$, to first order

In this appendix we provide explicit expressions for the gyrokinetic transformation $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{T}_\sigma(\mathbf{R}, u, \mu, \theta, t)$ to order ϵ_σ. Define

$$v_{||} := \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{C.1}$$

$$\mu_0 := \frac{(\mathbf{v} - v_{||}\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}))^2}{2B(\mathbf{r})}, \tag{C.2}$$

$$\theta_0 := \arctan\left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1(\mathbf{r})}\right). \tag{C.3}$$

The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} &= \mathbf{R} + \epsilon_\sigma \boldsymbol{\rho} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2), \\
v_{||} &= u + \epsilon_\sigma \hat{u}_{\sigma,1} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2), \\
\mu_0 &= \mu + \epsilon_\sigma \hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2), \\
\theta_0 &= \theta + \epsilon_\sigma \hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1} + O(\epsilon_\sigma^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_{\sigma,1} &= u\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho} + \frac{B}{4}[\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}] : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad - \mu\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \\
\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1} &= -\frac{\mu}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B - \frac{u}{4}\Big(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}\Big) : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad + \frac{u\mu}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \frac{u^2}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho} - \frac{Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma}{B}\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}, \\
\hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1} &= (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \Bigg(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \ln B + \frac{u^2}{2\mu B}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1\Bigg) - \frac{u}{8\mu}\Big(\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\rho} - (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})\Big) : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \\
&\quad + \frac{u}{2B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B + \frac{Z_\sigma^2 \tau_\sigma}{B}\partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

Note the difference between $(\mathbf{R}_{\sigma,2}, u_{\sigma,1}, \mu_{\sigma,1}, \theta_{\sigma,1})$, defined in Appendix B, and $(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \hat{u}_{\sigma,1}, \hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}, \hat{\theta}_{\sigma,1})$. The former give the give the lowest order terms of the perturbative transformation defined in (55). The latter are the $O(\epsilon_\sigma)$ terms of the complete transformation $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ from gyrokinetic coordinates to euclidean coordinates.

It is useful to have the long-wavelength limit of the previous expressions at hand. Employing (63) and (64), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} &= \hat{u}_{\sigma,1} \\
\hat{\mu}_{\sigma,1}^{\mathrm{lw}} &= -\frac{\mu}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} B - \frac{u}{4}\Big(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}})\boldsymbol{\rho}\Big) : \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{u\mu}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}-\frac{u^2}{B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}-\frac{Z_\sigma}{B}\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0,$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{\mathrm{lw}}_{\sigma,1}=(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\ln B+\frac{u^2}{2\mu B}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right.$$

$$\left.-\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2\cdot\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1\right)-\frac{u}{8\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\rho}-(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\right):\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}$$

$$+\frac{u}{2B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}B+\frac{Z_\sigma}{2\mu B}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\right)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0. \tag{C.6}$$

To write (74) in Section 4.3, we need to calculate the long-wavelength limit of $\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}$ to first order in ϵ_σ. Inverting (C.4) to first order, and recalling (C.6) and the relations $\partial_u F_{\sigma 0}=-(u/T)F_{\sigma 0}$, $\partial_\mu F_{\sigma 0}=-(B/T)F_{\sigma 0}$, one finds (74).

The results of this appendix are also used in equations (80) and (81).

Appendix D. Second-order transformation of the Maxwellian at short-wavelengths

In this section we derive $[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}]^{\mathrm{sw}}$. We proceed in a way analogous to that employed in Appendix G of [28]. Since $F_{\sigma 0}$ is a Maxwellian that depends only on $\mathbf{R}$ and $u^2/2+\mu B(\mathbf{R})$, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}=$$

$$\frac{1}{2B^2}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}):\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\ln n_\sigma+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\ln T\right.$$

$$-\frac{v^2}{2T^3}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T+\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}\right.$$

$$\left.\left.+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\right]\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}$$

$$+\mathbf{R}_{02}\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}$$

$$-\frac{1}{B}H_{01}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{5}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}}{T}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}H_{01}^2\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}}{T^2}-H_{02}\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}}{T}, \tag{D.1}$$

where the functions $\mathbf{R}_{02}$, H_{01} and H_{02} are given by

$$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{r}+\frac{\epsilon_\sigma}{B}\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}+\epsilon_\sigma^2\mathbf{R}_{02}+O(\epsilon_\sigma^3) \tag{D.2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_\sigma^{-1*}\left(\frac{u^2}{2}+\mu B(\mathbf{R})\right)=\frac{v^2}{2}+\epsilon_\sigma H_{01}+\epsilon_\sigma^2 H_{02}+O(\epsilon_\sigma^3). \tag{D.3}$$

Unlike in reference [28], we need the short-wavelength component of (D.1),

$$\left[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}\right]^{\mathrm{sw}}=$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}\\
&-\frac{1}{B}H_{01}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\left(\frac{v^2}{2T}-\frac{5}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}T}{T}\right)\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}}{T}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{01}^2\right]^{\mathrm{sw}}\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}}{T^2}-H_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}}{T}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.4}
$$

The coefficients $\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}$ and H_{01} are obtained following Appendix G of [28], easily arriving at

$$
\mathbf{R}_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}=\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\times\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}},
\tag{D.5}
$$

$$
H_{01}^{\mathrm{lw}}=-\frac{Z_\sigma}{B}(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\varphi_0,
\tag{D.6}
$$

$$
H_{01}^{\mathrm{sw}}=Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\tilde{\phi}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}.
\tag{D.7}
$$

In order to find H_{02}^{sw} we recall (G.9) in reference [28],

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{T}_\sigma^*\left(\frac{v^2}{2}+Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\epsilon_\sigma\varphi(\mathbf{r},t)\right)=\\
&\quad\frac{u^2}{2}+\mu B(\mathbf{R})+Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\epsilon_\sigma\langle\phi_\sigma\rangle(\mathbf{R},\mu,t)\\
&\quad+Z_\sigma^4\tau_\sigma^2\epsilon_\sigma^2\Psi_{\phi,\sigma}+Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\epsilon_\sigma^2\Psi_{\phi B,\sigma}+\epsilon_\sigma^2\Psi_{B,\sigma}\\
&\quad-\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\epsilon_\sigma^2}{B}\partial_t\widetilde{\Phi}_\sigma+O(\epsilon_\sigma^3).
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.8}
$$

It is almost immediate to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{02}^{\mathrm{sw}}&=Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^2\varphi_2^{\mathrm{sw}}(\mathbf{r},t)-Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma[\mathbf{R}_{02}\cdot\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp/\epsilon_\sigma}\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle]^{\mathrm{sw}}\\
&+Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma[\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_\mu\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle]^{\mathrm{sw}}+Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_\mu\langle\phi_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle\\
&-Z_\sigma^3\tau_\sigma^2\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\langle\phi_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{sw}}\rangle-Z_\sigma^4\tau_\sigma^2\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\Psi_{\phi,\sigma}-Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\Psi_{\phi B,\sigma}\\
&+\frac{Z_\sigma^2\tau_\sigma}{B}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\partial_t\tilde{\Phi}_\sigma^{\mathrm{sw}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.9}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\hat{\mu}_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{sw}}$ can be found in (86) and (88).

Equation (D.4), together with (D.5), (D.6), (D.7) and (D.9), give an explicit expression for $[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}]^{\mathrm{sw}}$.

Appendix E. Computation of the gyroaverage of $C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(2)\mathrm{lw}}$

In this appendix we calculate the gyroaverage of (93). First, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^*C_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(2)\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle&=\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^*C_{\sigma\sigma'}\Big[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\left\langle F_{\sigma 2}^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle+\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\left\langle\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^*[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 1}^{\mathrm{lw}}]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle\\
&+\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}\left\langle\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^*[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0}]^{\mathrm{lw}}\right\rangle,\mathcal{T}_{\sigma',0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma' 0}\Big]+\left(\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}\right)^2\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^*C_{\sigma\sigma'}\Big[\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,0}^{-1*}F_{\sigma 0},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}\left\langle F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma'2}\right\rangle+\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma',0}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',1}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma'1}]^{\rm lw}\right\rangle+\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma',0}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',2}F_{\sigma'0}]^{\rm lw}\right\rangle\Big]$$
$$+\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma,0}C_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma1}+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F_{\sigma0}]^{\rm lw},\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma'1}+[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',1}F_{\sigma'0}]^{\rm lw}\right]\right\rangle$$
$$+\frac{Z_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{Z_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}\Bigg\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma,0}\Bigg[C_{\sigma\sigma'}\Bigg[\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma1}-\frac{Z^2_\sigma\tau_\sigma}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma,0}\widetilde{\phi}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma1}\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,0}F_{\sigma0},\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma'1}$$
$$-\frac{Z^2_{\sigma'}\tau_{\sigma'}}{T}\mathbb{T}_{\sigma',0}\widetilde{\phi}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma'1}\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma',0}F_{\sigma'0}\Bigg]\Bigg]^{\rm lw}\Bigg\rangle, \tag{E.1}$$

where we have used that $\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma,0}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F^{\rm sw}_{\sigma1}]^{\rm lw}\right\rangle=0$. Here,

$$\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma,0}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,1}F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma1}]^{\rm lw}\right\rangle=\mu\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\times\hat{\mathbf{b}}\left(\partial_u-\frac{u}{B}\partial_\mu\right)F^{\rm lw}_{\sigma1}, \tag{E.2}$$

and

$$\left\langle \mathcal{T}^{*}_{\sigma,0}[\mathcal{T}^{-1*}_{\sigma,2}F_{\sigma0}]^{\rm lw}\right\rangle=$$
$$\frac{\mu}{2B}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}}-\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}):\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\ln n_\sigma+\left(\frac{u^2/2+\mu B}{T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\ln T\right]F_{\sigma0}$$
$$-\frac{\mu}{B}\frac{Z_\sigma}{T^2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}TF_{\sigma0}-\frac{\mu}{2B}\frac{u^2/2+\mu B}{T^3}|\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}T|^2F_{\sigma0}$$
$$+\frac{\mu}{2B}\left|\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\frac{Z_\sigma\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0}{T}+\left(\frac{u^2/2+\mu B}{T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}T}{T}\right|^2F_{\sigma0}$$
$$-\frac{\mu}{2B^2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp}B\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}n_\sigma}{n_\sigma}+\frac{Z_\sigma\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0}{T}+\left(\frac{u^2/2+\mu B}{T}-\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}T}{T}\right)F_{\sigma0}$$
$$+\frac{Z^4_\sigma\tau^2_\sigma}{2T^2}\left[\left\langle(\widetilde{\phi}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma1})^2\right\rangle\right]^{\rm lw}F_{\sigma0}+\frac{1}{T}\Bigg[-\frac{Z^2_\sigma}{2B^2}|\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0|^2$$
$$-\frac{Z^4_\sigma\tau^2_\sigma}{2B}\partial_\mu\left[\left\langle(\widetilde{\phi}^{\rm sw}_{\sigma1})^2\right\rangle\right]^{\rm lw}-\frac{3Z_\sigma\mu}{2B^2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_\perp}B\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0$$
$$-\frac{Z_\sigma u^2}{B^2}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0+\Psi_{B,\sigma}$$
$$+\frac{Z_\sigma\mu}{B}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}}-\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}):\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi_0\Bigg]F_{\sigma0}. \tag{E.3}$$

This last result has been obtained by gyroaveraging (76).

References

[1] Parra F I, Barnes M, Calvo I and Catto P J 2012 *Phys. Plasmas* **19** 056116
[2] Parra F I and Barnes M *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **57** 045002
[3] Mantica P, Strintzi D, Tala T *et al.* 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 175002
[4] de Vries P C et al 1996 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **38** 467
[5] Greenwald M *et al.* 2005 *Nucl. Fusion* **45** S109
[6] deGrassie J S, Rice J E, Burrell K H, Groebner R J and Solomon W M 2007 *Phys. Plasmas* **14** 056115
[7] Parra F I and Catto P J 2008 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **50** 065014
[8] Parra F I and Catto P J 2009 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **51** 095008
[9] Parra F I and Catto P J 2010 *Phys. Plasmas* **17** 056106
[10] Parra F I and Catto P J 2010 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **52** 085011

[11] Catto P J 1978 *Plasma Phys.* **20** 719
[12] Dorland W, Jenko F, Kotschenreuther M and Rogers B N 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 5579
[13] Dannert T and Jenko F 2005 *Phys. Plasmas* **12** 072309
[14] Candy J and Waltz R E 2003 *J. Comput. Phys.* **186** 545
[15] Chen Y and Parker S E 2003 *J. Comput. Phys.* **189** 463
[16] Peeters A G *et al.* 2009 *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** 2650
[17] Barnes M, Parra F I and Schekochihin A A 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** 115003
[18] McKee G R *et al.* 2001 *Nucl. Fusion* **41** 1235
[19] Krommes J A 2012 *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **44** 175
[20] Parra F I, Barnes M and Peeters A G 2011 *Phys. Plasmas* **18** 062501
[21] Hinton F L and Hazeltine R D 1976 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48** 239
[22] Helander P and Simakov A N 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 145003
[23] Calvo I, Parra F I, Velasco J L and Alonso J A 2013 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **55** 125014
[24] Calvo I, Parra F I, Alonso J A and Velasco J L 2014 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **56** 094003
[25] Calvo I, Parra F I, Velasco J L and Alonso J A 2015 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **57** 014014
[26] Parra F I and Catto P J 2010 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **52** 045004
[27] Parra F I, Barnes M and Catto P J 2011 *Nucl. Fusion* **51** 113001
[28] Calvo I and Parra F I 2012 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **54** 115007
[29] Parra F I and Calvo I 2011 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **53** 045001
[30] Parra F I and Barnes M 2015 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **57** 054003
[31] Braginskii S I 1965 in *Reviews of Plasma Physics* ed Leontovich M A (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1965)
[32] Frieman E A and Chen L 1983 *Phys. Fluids* **25** 502
[33] Lee X S, Myra J R and Catto P J 1983 *Phys. Fluids* **26** 223
[34] Lee W W 1983 *Phys. Fluids* **26** 556
[35] Bernstein I B and Catto P J 1985 *Phys. Fluids* **28** 1342
[36] Dubin D H E, Krommes J A, Oberman C and Lee W W 1983 *Phys. Fluids* **26** 3524
[37] Hahm T S 1988 *Phys. Fluids* **31** 2670
[38] Brizard A J and Hahm T S 2007 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79** 421
[39] Burby J W, Squire J and Qin H 2013 *Phys. Plasmas* **20** 072105
[40] Parra F I, Calvo I, Burby J W, Squire J and Qin H 2014 *Phys. Plasmas* **21** 104506
[41] Sugama H, Watanabe T H, Numami M and Nishimura S 2011 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **53** 024004
[42] Camenen Y et al 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 125001
[43] Camenen Y et al 2009 *Phys. Plasmas* **16** 062501
[44] Ball J, Parra F I, Barnes M, Dorland W, Hammett G W, Rodrigues P and Loureiro N F 2014 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **56** 095014
[45] Parra F I et al 2012 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** 095001
[46] Hinton F L and Wong S K 1985 *Phys. Fluids* **28** 3082
[47] Artun M and Tang W M 1994 *Phys. Plasmas* **1** 2682
[48] Brizard A 1995 *Phys. Plasmas* **2** 459
[49] Sugama H and Horton W 1998 *Phys. Plasmas* **5** 2560
[50] Abel I G, Plunk G G, Wang E, Barnes M, Cowley S C, Dorland W and Schekochihin A A 2013 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **76** 116201
[51] Littlejohn R G 1981 *Phys. Fluids* **24** 1730